

[These financial statements have been audited]

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
30 JUNE 2013

Index

| Conte | ents | Page |
|-------|---|---------|
| Gene | eral Information | 1 |
| Appro | oval of the Financial Statements | 2 |
| Repo | rt of the Auditor General | |
| State | ment of Financial Position | 3 |
| State | ment of Financial Performance | 4 |
| State | ment of Changes In Net Assets | 5 |
| Cash | Flow Statement | 6 |
| | ment of comparison of budget and actual amounts - Statement nancial Position | 7 |
| | ment of comparison of budget and actual amounts - Statement nancial Performance | 8 |
| | ment of comparison of budget and actual amounts - Cash Statement | 9 |
| Acco | unting Policies | 10 - 38 |
| Notes | s to the Financial Statements | 39 - 76 |
| APPE | ENDICES - Unaudited | |
| Α | Schedule of External Loans | 77 |
| В | Segmental Statement of Financial Performance - Municipal Votes | 78 |
| С | Segmental Statement of Financial Performance | 79 |
| D | Disclosure of Grants and Subsidies In Terms of Section 123 of MFMA, 56 of 2003 | 80 |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

GENERAL INFORMATION

NATURE OF BUSINESS

Ubuntu Municipality is a local municipality performing the functions as set out in the Constitution. (Act no 105 of 1996)

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN AND LEGAL FORM

South African Category B Municipality (Local Municipality) as defined by the Municipal Structures Act. (Act no 117 of 1998)

JURISDICTION

The Ubuntu Municipality includes the following areas:

Victoria West Richmond Loxton

MUNICIPAL MANAGER

Mr. M.F Fillis

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Me. L Plaatjies

REGISTERED OFFICE

78 Church Street, Victoria West, 7070

AUDITORS

Office of the Auditor General (NC)

PRINCIPLE BANKERS

First National Bank, Victoria West

RELEVANT LEGISLATION

Municipal Finance Management Act (Act no 56 of 2003)

Division of Revenue Act

The Income Tax Act

Value Added Tax Act

Municipal Structures Act (Act no 117 of 1998)

Municipal Systems Act (Act no 32 of 2000)

Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations

Water Services Act (Act no 108 of 1997)

Housing Act (Act no 107 of 1997)

Municipal Property Rates Act (Act no 6 of 2004)

Electricity Act (Act no 41 of 1987)

Skills Development Levies Act (Act no 9 of 1999)

Employment Equity Act (Act no 55 of 1998)

Unemployment Insurance Act (Act no 30 of 1966)

Basic Conditions of Employment Act (Act no 75 of 1997)

Supply Chain Management Regulations, 2011

Collective Agreements

Infrastructure Grants

SALBC Leave Regulations

MEMBERS OF THE UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

COUNCILLORS

| Ward 1 | Cllr K.J Rigard |
|--------------|-------------------|
| Ward 2 | Cllr J.C Pieterse |
| Ward 3 | Cllr C.C Jantjies |
| Ward 4 | Cllr K.J Arens |
| Proportional | Cllr K.V De Bruin |
| Proportional | Cllr S.C Jordaan |
| Proportional | Cllr A. Verwey |
| Proportional | Cllr B.J Bruwer |

APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

I am responsible for the preparation of these annual financial statements year ended 30 June 2013, which are set out on pages 1 to 80 in terms of Section 126 (1) of the Municipal Finance Management Act and which I have signed on behalf of the Municipality. The annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GRAP.

I acknowledge that I am ultimately responsible for the system of internal financial control and that the system of internal control provides reasonable assurance that the financial records can be relied on.

I have reviewed the Municipality's cash flow forecast for the year to 30 June 2013 and is satisfied that the Municipality can continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future.

The external auditors are responsible for independently reviewing and reporting on the Municipality's financial statements.

I certify that the remuneration of Councillors and in-kind benefits are within the upper limits of the framework envisaged in Section 219 of the Constitution, read with the Remuneration of Public Officer Bearers Act and the Minister of Provincial and Local Government's determination in accordance with this Act.

| Mr. M.F Fillis | Date | |
|-------------------|------|--|
| | Date | |
| Municipal Manager | | |
| | | |

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AT 30 JUNE 2013

| | Notes | 2013 (Actual) R | 2012 (Restated) R |
|---|--|--|---|
| NET ASSETS AND LIABILITIES | | | |
| Net Assets | | 124 760 063 | 126 105 874 |
| Accumulated Surplus | | 124 760 063 | 126 105 874 |
| Non-Current Liabilities | | 8 468 575 | 8 208 079 |
| Annuity Loans Capitalised Lease Liability Employee benefits Non-Current Provisions | 2.1 2.2 3 4 | 224 943 604 097 6 749 755 889 780 | 422 809 826 727 6 159 388 799 155 |
| Current Liabilities | | 21 380 791 | 16 111 375 |
| Consumer Deposits Current Employee benefits Provisions Payables from exchange transactions Unspent Conditional Government Grants and Receipts Taxes Operating Lease Liability Cash and Cash Equivalents Current Portion of Annuity Loans Current Portion of Capitalised Lease Liability | 5 6 7 8 9 10.1 21.1 22 2.1 | 203 351 2 489 383 2 227 800 9 859 507 3 810 214 1 156 868 1 369 1 211 708 197 961 222 629 | 172 219 1 698 787 1 998 117 5 528 159 4 482 977 925 206 1 505 926 683 174 186 203 536 |
| Total Net Assets and Liabilities | | 154 609 429 | 150 425 329 |
| ASSETS | | | |
| Non-Current Assets | | 149 810 494 | 146 391 965 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment Investment Property Intangible Assets Capitalised Restoration Cost Non-Current Investments Biological Assets Long-Term Receivables | 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 121 350 344 26 447 383 34 043 54 839 1 616 786 307 100 | 118 203 949 26 473 412 34 821 582 1 521 901 157 300 |
| Current Assets | | 4 798 935 | 4 033 363 |
| Inventory Receivables from exchange transactions Receivables from non-exchange transactions Unpaid Conditional Government Grants and Receipts Operating Lease Asset Cash and Cash Equivalents Total Assets | 18 19 20 9 21.2 22 | 8 285 1 691 363 1 765 579 446 968 98 256 788 484 154 609 429 | 9 575 1 383 993 914 674 204 296 105 752 1 415 073 150 425 329 |

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Notes | 2013 (Actual) R | 2012 (Restated) R | Correction of error R | 2012 (Previously reported) R |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| REVENUE | | | · · | · · | K |
| Revenue from Non-exchange Transactions | | 54 757 054 | 52 794 493 | - | 52 794 493 |
| Taxation Revenue | | 6 007 352 | 3 232 328 | - | 3 232 328 |
| Property taxes | 23 | 6 007 352 | 3 232 328 | - | 3 232 328 |
| Transfer Revenue | | 30 688 369 | 30 286 148 | - | 30 286 148 |
| Government Grants and Subsidies - Capital Government Grants and Subsidies - Operating Public Contributions and Donations | 24 24 | 8 718 417 21 969 951 - | 8 943 734 19 576 680 1 765 734 | 2 399 (2 399) | 8 941 335 19 579 079 1 765 734 |
| Other Revenue | | 18 061 333 | 19 276 017 | - | 19 276 017 |
| Actuarial Gains Third Party Payments Fines | | 136 682 510 001 17 414 650 | 32 239 207 687 19 036 091 | - | 32 239 207 687 19 036 091 |
| Revenue from Exchange Transactions | | 19 999 432 | 18 166 805 | - | 18 166 805 |
| Service Charges Rental of Facilities and Equipment Interest Earned - external investments Interest Earned - outstanding debtors Licences and Permits Agency Services Bad Debts Recovered Other Income Fair Value Adjustments Gain on disposal of Property, Plant and Equipment Total Revenue | 26 27 28 | 15 861 336 458 059 228 417 2 112 202 874 438 9 904 - 305 277 149 800 - 74 756 486 | 14 038 971 370 754 304 627 1 624 433 745 442 10 146 798 456 184 981 70 000 18 995 | - - - - - - - - - | 14 038 971 370 754 304 627 1 624 433 745 442 10 146 798 456 184 981 70 000 18 995 |
| EXPENDITURE | | | | | |
| Employee related costs Remuneration of Councillors Debt Impairment Depreciation and Amortisation Impairments Repairs and Maintenance Actuarial losses Finance Charges Bulk Purchases Contracted services Stock Adjustments Operating Grant Expenditure General Expenses Loss on disposal of Property, Plant and Equipment | 29 30 31 32 33 3 34 35 | 23 347 269 2 194 166 8 322 367 5 845 953 139 301 1 357 785 471 087 971 516 10 915 455 10 595 932 1 317 211 10 530 804 93 452 | 20 075 765 1 827 763 4 800 847 5 233 881 11 1 896 581 1 957 405 851 034 8 873 131 12 322 959 165 546 2 214 530 8 165 487 204 065 | 16 278 (29 562) - (50 323) - - - - - (2 399) | 20 059 487 1 857 325 4 800 847 5 284 204 11 1 896 581 1 957 405 851 034 8 873 131 12 322 959 165 546 2 216 929 8 165 487 204 065 |
| Total Expenditure | | 76 102 297 | 68 589 006 | (66 005) | 68 655 010 |
| NET SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) FOR THE YEAR | | (1 345 811) | 2 372 292 | 66 005 | 2 306 288 |

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Accumulated Surplus/ (Deficit) | Total |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | R | R |
| Balance at 1 JULY 2011 | 122 034 456 | 122 034 456 |
| Correction of error - Refer to note 37.05 | 1 699 126 | 1 699 126 |
| Restated Balance at 1 JULY 2011 Net Surplus for the year | 123 733 582 2 372 292 | 123 733 582 2 372 292 |
| Balance at 30 JUNE 2012 | 126 105 874 | 126 105 874 |
| Net Deficit for the year | (1 345 811) | (1 345 811) |
| Balance at 30 JUNE 2013 | 124 760 063 | 124 760 063 |

CASH FLOW STATEMENT FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Notes | 30 JUNE 2013 R | 30 JUNE 2012 R |
|---|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Receipts | | | |
| Ratepayers and other | | 28 475 470 | 36 710 605 |
| Government | | 33 980 933 | 28 532 395 |
| Interest | | 2 340 619 | 1 929 060 |
| Payments | | | |
| Suppliers and employees | | (54 882 006) | (56 885 087) |
| Finance charges | 34 | (971 516) | (851 034) |
| Cash generated by operations | 39 | 8 943 500 | 9 435 939 |
| CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | _ | | |
| Purchase of Property, Plant and Equipment | 11 | (9 049 365) | (12 986 408) |
| Proceeds on Disposal of Fixed Assets | | 2 068 | 154 498 |
| Purchase of Intangible Assets | | (11 550) | (10 445) |
| Increase in Long-term Receivables | 17 | (354 888) | (215 216) |
| Increase in Non-current Investments | 15 - | (94 885) | (96 731) |
| Net Cash from Investing Activities | | (9 508 619) | (13 154 303) |
| CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | _ | | |
| Loans repaid | | (377 627) | (451 106) |
| New loans raised | | - | 1 156 161 |
| Increase in Consumer Deposits | _ | 31 133 | 15 336 |
| Net Cash from Financing Activities | _ | (346 495) | 720 391 |
| NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH | | | |
| EQUIVALENTS | = | (911 614) | (2 997 973) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at the beginning of the year | | 488 390 | 3 486 363 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at the end of the year | 40 | (423 224) | 488 390 |
| NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | = | (911 614) | (2 997 973) |

UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY STATEMENT OF COMPARISON OF BUDGET AND ACTUAL AMOUNTS STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AT 30 JUNE 2013

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL FIGURES TO FINAL BUDGET

| Current assets | ASSETS | 2013 R (Final Budget) | 2013 R (Actual) | 2013 R (Variance) | Explanations for material variances |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | | | | | |
| Consumer debtors | Cash and Cash Equivalents | - | 788 484 | (788 484) | expected cash balance |
| Non current assets | | | | , , | |
| Non-current assets | Inventory | 80 000 | 8 285 | 71 715 | |
| Long-term receivables | Total current assets | 2 052 569 | 4 798 935 | (2 746 366) | |
| Investments 1 485 000 | Non current assets | | | | |
| Investment property 15 887 979 | • | | - | | |
| Total restructuring of property, register retrospectively on 30 June 2007. Under spending of capital budget by R3.7 million (219 800) Intrangible Assets | | | | , , | * |
| Increase in game numbers on 30 June 2013 Increase in game number | Investment property | 15 887 979 | 26 447 383 | (10 559 404) | |
| Total non current assets 146 685 553 149 810 494 3 124 9410 Total ASSETS 148 738 122 154 609 429 5871 3077 LIABILITIES | Property, plant and equipment | 129 194 651 | 121 405 183 | 7 789 469 | capital budget by R3.7 million |
| Total non current assets | • | | 307 100 | (219 800) | Increase in game numbers on 30 June 2013 |
| Current liabilities | Intangible Assets | 26 216 | 34 043 | (7 827) | |
| Liabilities Current liabilities Sank overdraft 25 238 947 1 211 708 24 027 239 than expected cash balance Borrowing 197 978 420 591 (222 613) (25 417) | Total non current assets | 146 685 553 | 149 810 494 | (3 124 941) | |
| Bank overdraft 25 238 947 1 211 708 24 027 239 than expected cash balance Borrowing 197 978 420 591 (222 613) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2014 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2014 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2014 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2014 Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2014 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjus | TOTAL ASSETS | 148 738 122 | 154 609 429 | (5 871 307) | |
| Bank overdraft 25 238 947 1 211 708 24 027 239 | LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Bank overdraft 25 238 947 1 211 708 24 027 239 than expected cash balance Borrowing 197 978 420 591 (222 613) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Consumer deposits 177 934 203 351 (25 417) Trade and other payables 12 060 863 14 827 958 (2 767 095) Increase mainly as a result of the roll over of grant funding to 2013/2014 Provisions and Employee Benefits 2 871 807 4 717 183 (1 845 376) Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Total current liabilities 40 547 530 21 380 791 19 166 738 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/20 | Current liabilities | | | | |
| Borrowing | | | | | |
| Consumer deposits 177 934 203 351 (25 417) Trade and other payables 12 060 863 14 827 958 (2 767 095) Increase mainly as a result of the roll over of grant funding to 2013/2014 Provisions and Employee Benefits 2 871 807 4 717 183 (1 845 376) Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Total current liabilities 40 547 530 21 380 791 19 166 738 Non current liabilities 243 841 829 040 (585 198) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Provisions and Employee Benefits 5 214 1117 7 639 535 (2 425 418) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Provisions and Employee Benefits 5 457 958 8 468 575 (3 010 617) Total non current liabilities 46 005 488 29 849 366 16 156 121 NET ASSETS 102 732 634 124 760 063 (22 027 429) COMMUNITY WEALTH Under spending of total budget due to cash flow constraints resulting in a higher than expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. Reserves 1 059 287 1 059 287 1 059 287 Reserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> | | | | | • |
| Trade and other payables Provisions and Employee Benefits 2 871 807 4 717 183 (1 845 376) Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Total current liabilities 40 547 530 21 380 791 19 166 738 Non current liabilities Borrowing Provisions and Employee Benefits 5 214 117 7 639 535 (2 425 418) Provisions and Employee Benefits 5 457 958 8 468 575 (3 010 617) Total non current liabilities 5 46 005 488 29 849 366 16 156 121 NET ASSETS 102 732 634 124 760 063 (22 027 429) COMMUNITY WEALTH Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit) 101 673 347 124 760 063 Reserves 1 059 287 - 1059 287 Reserves not adjusted in line with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Increase mainly as a result of the roll over of grant funding to 2013/2014 Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Under spending of total budget due to cash flow constraints resulting in a higher than expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. Reserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances | <u> </u> | | | , , | Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 |
| Provisions and Employee Benefits 2 871 807 4 717 183 (1 845 376) Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Total current liabilities 40 547 530 21 380 791 19 166 738 Non current liabilities Borrowing 243 841 829 040 (585 198) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Provisions and Employee Benefits 5 214 117 7 639 535 (2 425 418) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Total non current liabilities 5 457 958 8 468 575 (3 010 617) TOTAL LIABILITIES 46 005 488 29 849 366 16 156 121 NET ASSETS 102 732 634 124 760 063 (22 027 429) COMMUNITY WEALTH Under spending of total budget due to cash flow constraints resulting in a higher than expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. Neserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances | • | | | , , | Increase mainly as a result of the roll over of grant funding to 2013/2014 |
| Non current liabilities 243 841 829 040 (585 198) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Provisions and Employee Benefits 5 214 117 7 639 535 (2 425 418) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Total non current liabilities 5 457 958 8 468 575 (3 010 617) TOTAL LIABILITIES 46 005 488 29 849 366 16 156 121 NET ASSETS 102 732 634 124 760 063 (22 027 429) COMMUNITY WEALTH Under spending of total budget due to cash flow constraints resulting in a higher than expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. Reserves 1 059 287 1 059 287 Reserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances | . , | | | , | , |
| Borrowing 243 841 829 040 (585 198) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. | Total current liabilities | 40 547 530 | 21 380 791 | 19 166 738 | |
| Borrowing 243 841 829 040 (585 198) Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 Budget not adjusted in 2011/2012 Budget not a | Non current liabilities | | | | |
| Provisions and Employee Benefits 5 214 117 7 639 535 (2 425 418) Budget not aligned with closing balance of provisions on 30 June 2012. Total non current liabilities 5 457 958 8 468 575 (3 010 617) TOTAL LIABILITIES 46 005 488 29 849 366 16 156 121 NET ASSETS 102 732 634 124 760 063 (22 027 429) COMMUNITY WEALTH Under spending of total budget due to cash flow constraints resulting in a higher than expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. Reserves 1 059 287 1 059 287 Reserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances | | 243 841 | 829 040 | (585 198) | Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES 46 005 488 29 849 366 16 156 121 NET ASSETS 102 732 634 124 760 063 (22 027 429) COMMUNITY WEALTH Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit) 101 673 347 124 760 063 (23 086 716) expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. Reserves 1 059 287 - 1 059 287 Reserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances | 9 | | | , , | · · |
| NET ASSETS 102 732 634 124 760 063 (22 027 429) COMMUNITY WEALTH Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit) Reserves 101 673 347 124 760 063 (23 086 716) Reserves 10 59 287 1059 287 Reserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances | Total non current liabilities | 5 457 958 | 8 468 575 | (3 010 617) | |
| COMMUNITY WEALTH Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit) 101 673 347 124 760 063 Reserves 1 059 287 1 059 287 1 059 287 COMMUNITY WEALTH Under spending of total budget due to cash flow constraints resulting in a higher than expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. Reserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances | TOTAL LIABILITIES | 46 005 488 | 29 849 366 | 16 156 121 | |
| Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit) 101 673 347 124 760 063 (23 086 716) Under spending of total budget due to cash flow constraints resulting in a higher than expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. Reserves 1 1 059 287 - 1 059 287 Reserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances | NET ASSETS | 102 732 634 | 124 760 063 | (22 027 429) | |
| Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit) 101 673 347 124 760 063 (23 086 716) expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. Reserves 1 059 287 - 1 059 287 Reserves not adjusted in line with 2012 closing balances | COMMUNITY WEALTH | | | | |
| TOTAL COMMUNITY WEALTH/EQUITY 102 732 634 124 760 063 (22 027 429) | • • • | | 124 760 063 - | , | expected accumulated balance on 30 June 2013. |
| | TOTAL COMMUNITY WEALTH/EQUITY | 102 732 634 | 124 760 063 | (22 027 429) | |

Only one budget was approved by Council during the year under review.

UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY STATEMENT OF COMPARISON OF BUDGET AND ACTUAL AMOUNTS STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL FIGURES TO FINAL BUDGET

| | 2013 R | 2013 R | 2013 R | |
|---|----------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| | (Final Budget) | (Actual) | (Variance) | Explanations for material variances |
| REVENUE BY SOURCE | | | | |
| Property rates | 6 196 106 | 6 007 352 | 188 754 | |
| Service charges | 16 408 533 | 15 861 336 | 547 198 | Lower income indicative of poverty in municipal area |
| Rental of facilities and equipment | 621 205 | 458 059 | 163 146 | |
| Interest earned - external investments | 380 000 | 228 417 | 151 583 | |
| Interest earned - outstanding debtors | 1 800 000 | 2 112 202 | (312 202) | |
| Fines | 19 089 500 | 17 414 650 | 1 674 850 | Income is budgeted for using past trends. Income did not realise as expected |
| Licences and permits | 419 000 | 874 438 | (455 438) | |
| Agency services | 12 000 | 9 904 | 2 096 | |
| | | | | Municipality did not budget for the incentive grant of R 1 m received in current |
| Government Grants and Subsidies - Operating | 20 785 000 | 21 969 951 | (1 184 951) | year. |
| Other revenue | 274 750 | 1 101 760 | (827 010) | Higher than expected insurance claims in the current year. |
| Gains on disposal of PPE | 225 000 | - | 225 000 | |
| Total Operating Revenue | 66 211 094 | 66 038 069 | 173 025 | |
| EXPENDITURE BY TYPE | | | | |
| Employee related costs | 28 605 521 | 23 347 269 | 5 258 252 | Certain vacancies were not filled due to cash flow constraints |
| Remuneration of councillors | 2 030 463 | 2 194 166 | (163 703) | |
| Debt impairment | 3 174 054 | 8 322 367 | (5 148 312) | High debt impairment charge indicative of poverty in municipal area |
| Depreciation & asset impairment | 5 723 969 | 5 985 253 | (261 285) | |
| Finance charges | 650 360 | 971 516 | (321 156) | |
| Bulk purchases | 9 317 470 | 10 915 455 | (1 597 985) | Increase in Eskom charges due to increase in demands |
| Contracted services | 10 710 000 | 10 595 932 | 114 068 | |
| Other expenditure | 21 093 124 | 13 676 887 | 7 416 237 | Under spending of total budget due to cash flow constraints |
| Loss on disposal of PPE | 7 000 | 93 452 | (86 452) | |
| Total Operating Expenditure | 81 311 961 | 76 102 297 | 5 209 664 | |
| Operating Surplus/(Deficit) for the year | (15 100 867) | (10 064 228) | (5 036 639) | |
| Government Grants and Subsidies - Capital | 11 510 000 | 8 718 417 | 2 791 583 | The municipality applied for the roll-over of funds due to the fact that all grant conditions were not met on 30 June 2013 |
| · | | | | Sensition of the first off of daily Late |
| Net Surplus/(Deficit) for the year | (3 590 867) | (1 345 811) | (2 245 056) | |

Only one budget was approved by Council during the year under review.

Indigent subsidies budgeted for under transfers and grants in the approved budget are disclosed in the comparison above as a revenue foregone in line with GRAP.

UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY STATEMENT OF COMPARISON OF BUDGET AND ACTUAL AMOUNTS CASH FLOW STATEMENT FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL FIGURES TO FINAL BUDGET

| | 2013 R (Final Beget) | 2013 R (Actual) | 2013 R (Variance) | Explanations for material variances |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|
| CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (| , , , , | (22 22 22) | F |
| Receipts | | | | |
| Ratepayers and other | 39 823 918 | 28 475 470 | 11 348 447 | Low recovery rate of debtors resulting in lower than expected income. Municipality did not budget for the incentive grant of R 1 m received in current |
| Government | 32 295 000 | 33 980 933 | (1 685 933) | year. |
| Interest | 2 180 000 | 2 340 619 | (160 619) | |
| Payments | | | | |
| Suppliers and Employees | (70 730 411) | (54 882 006) | (15 848 404) | Under spending of total budget due to cash flow constraints |
| Finance charges | (650 360) | (971 516) | 321 156 | Insufficient budget allocation for finance charges |
| NET CASH FROM/(USED) OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 2 918 147 | 8 943 500 | (6 025 353) | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Receipts | | | | |
| Proceeds on disposal of Assets | 225 000 | 2 068 | 222 932 | Proceeds on expected disposal did not materialise. Increase in debtors with arrangements where debt is structured to be repaid |
| Decrease/(increase) in non-current receivables | - | (354 888) | 354 888 | over a period longer than 12 months. |
| Decrease/(increase) in non-current investments | (59 830) | (94 885) | 35 055 | |
| Payments | | | | |
| | (40.005.000) | (0.000.045) | (0.004.005) | Under spending of capital budget, resulting in the roll-over of grant funding to |
| Capital assets | (12 965 000) | (9 060 915) | (3 904 085) | 2013/2014 |
| NET CASH FROM/(USED) INVESTING ACTIVITIES | (12 799 830) | (9 508 619) | (3 291 211) | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES Receipts | | | | |
| Increase/(decrease) in consumer deposits | 11 641 | 31 133 | (19 492) | |
| Payments | 11011 | 01 100 | (10 102) | |
| Repayment of borrowing | (235 510) | (377 627) | 142 118 | Budget not adjusted for finance leases acquired in 2011/2012 |
| NET CASH FROM/(USED) FINANCING ACTIVITIES | (223 869) | (346 495) | 122 625 | |
| NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN CASH HELD | (10 105 552) | (911 614) | (9 193 938) | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at the beginning of the year | (15 133 397) | 488 390 | (15 621 787) | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at the end of the year | (25 238 949) | (423 224) | (24 815 725) | |
| , | . , , | ` ′ | ` , | 」 |

Only one budget was approved by Council during the year under review.

Indigent subsidies budgeted for under transfers and grants in the approved budget are disclosed in the comparison above as a revenue foregone in line with GRAP.

1. ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES AND POLICIES APPLIED IN THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1.1. BASIS OF PREPARATION

The annual financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting and are in accordance with historical cost convention unless specified otherwise.

The annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) and effective standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practices (GRAP), including any interpretations and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board (ASB) in accordance with Section 122(3) of the Municipal Finance Management Act, (Act No 56 of 2003).

Accounting policies for material transactions, events or conditions not covered by the GRAP reporting framework , have been developed in accordance with paragraphs 8,10 and 11 of GRAP 3 (Revised – March 2012) and the hierarchy approved in Directive 5 issued by the Accounting Standards Board

The municipality resolved to early adopt the following GRAP standards which have been issued but are not yet effective.

| Standard | Description | Effective Date |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------|
| GRAP 1 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Presentation of Financial Statements | 1 April 2013 |
| GRAP 3 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors | 1 April 2013 |
| GRAP 9 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Revenue from Exchange Transactions | 1 April 2013 |
| GRAP 12 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Inventories | 1 April 2013 |
| GRAP 13 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Leases | 1 April 2013 |
| GRAP 16 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Investment Property | 1 April 2013 |
| GRAP 17 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Property, Plant and Equipment | 1 April 2013 |
| GRAP 25 (Original – Nov 2009) | Employee Benefits | 1 April 2013 |
| GRAP 27 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Agriculture | 1 April 2013 |
| GRAP 31 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Intangible Assets | 1 April 2013 |
| IGRAP 16 (Issued – Mar 2012) | Intangible Assets – Website Costs | 1 April 2013 |

A summary of the significant accounting policies, which have been consistently applied except where an exemption has been granted, are disclosed below.

Assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses have not been offset except when offsetting is permitted or required by a Standard of GRAP.

The accounting policies applied are consistent with those used to present the previous year's financial statements, unless explicitly stated otherwise. The details of any changes in accounting policies are explained in the relevant notes to the Financial Statements.

In terms of Directive 7: "The Application of Deemed Cost on the Adoption of Standards of GRAP" issued by the Accounting Standards Board, the Municipality applied deemed cost to Investment Property, Property, Plant and Equipment and Intangible where the acquisition cost of an asset could not be determined.

If fair value at the measurement date cannot be determined for an item of property, plant and equipment, investment property or an intangible asset, an entity may estimate such fair value using depreciated replacement cost.

The cost for depreciated replacement cost is determined by using either one of the following:

- cost of items with a similar nature currently in the municipality's asset register;
- cost of items with a similar nature in other municipalities' asset registers, given
 that the other municipality has the same geographical setting as the municipality
 and that the other municipality's asset register is considered to be accurate;
- cost as supplied by suppliers.

1.2. PRESENTATION CURRENCY

Amounts reflected in the financial statements are in South African Rand and at actual values. Financial values are rounded to the nearest one Rand. No foreign exchange transactions are included in the statements.

1.3. GOING CONCERN ASSUMPTION

These annual financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis.

1.4. COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

When the presentation or classification of items in the annual financial statements is amended, prior period comparative amounts are restated, unless a standard of GRAP does not require the restatements of comparative information. The nature, amount and reason for the reclassification are disclosed. Where material accounting errors have been identified in the current year, the correction is made retrospectively as far as is practicable, and the prior year comparatives are restated accordingly. Where there has been a change in accounting policy in the current year, the adjustment is made retrospectively as far as is practicable, and the prior year comparatives are restated accordingly.

1.5. PRESENTATION OF BUDGET INFORMATION

The presentation of budget information is prepared in accordance with GRAP 24 and guidelines issued by National Treasury. The comparison of budget and actual amounts are disclosed as a separate additional financial statement, namely Statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts.

Budget information is presented on the accrual basis and is based on the same period as the actual amounts, i.e. 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2013. The budget information is therefore on a comparable basis to the actual amounts.

The comparable information includes the following:

- the approved and final budget amounts;
- actual amounts and final budget amounts;

Explanations for differences between the approved and final budget are included in the Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts.

Explanations for material differences between the final budget amounts and actual amounts are included the Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts.

The disclosure of comparative information in respect of the previous period is not required in terms of GRAP 24. No amendments or disclosure requirements in terms of GRAP 3 (Revised – March 2012) has been made.

1.6. STANDARDS, AMENDMENTS TO STANDARDS AND INTERPRETATIONS ISSUED BUT NOT YET EFFECTIVE

The following GRAP standards have been issued but are not yet effective and have not been early adopted by the municipality:

| Standard | Description | Effective Date |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------|
| GRAP 6 | Consolidated and Separate Financial | Unknown |
| (Revised – Nov 2010 | Statements The objective of this Standard is to prescribe the circumstances in which consolidated and separate financial statements are to be prepared and the information to be included in those financial statements so that the consolidated financial statements reflect the financial performance, financial position and cash flows of an economic entity as a single entity. | |
| | No significant impact is expected as the Municipality does not have any entities at this stage to be consolidated. | |
| GRAP 7 (Revised – Mar 2012) | Investments in Associate This Standard prescribes the accounting treatment for investments in associates where the investment in the associate leads to the holding of an ownership interest in the form of a shareholding or other form of interest in the net assets. No significant impact is expected as the Municipality | 1 April 2013 |
| | does have any interest in associates. | |
| GRAP 8 (Revised – Nov 2010) | Interest in Joint Ventures The objective of this Standard is to prescribe the accounting treatment of jointly controlled operations, jointly controlled assets and jointly controlled entities and to provide alternatives for the recognition of interests in jointly controlled entities. No significant impact is expected as the Municipality is not involved in any joint ventures. | Unknown |
| GRAP 18 (Original – Feb 2011) | Segment Reporting The objective of this Standard is to establish principles for reporting financial information by segments. | Unknown |
| | No significant impact is expected as information to a large extent is already included in the appendices to the financial statements which do not form part of the | |

| | audited financial statements. | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------|
| | | |
| GRAP 20 (Original – June 2011) | Related Party Disclosure The objective of this Standard is to ensure that a Municipality's financial statements contains the disclosures necessary to draw attention to the possibility that its financial position and surplus or deficit may have been affected by the existence of related parties and by transactions and outstanding balances with such parties. The Municipality resolved to adopt the disclosure requirements as per GRAP 20. The information is therefore included in the financial statements. | Unknown |
| GRAP 105 (Original – Nov 2010) | Transfer of Functions Between Entities Under Common Control The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer and transferor in a transfer of functions between entities under common control. No significant impact expected as no such transactions or events are expected in the foreseeable future. | Unknown |
| GRAP 106 (Original – Nov 2010) | Transfer of Functions Between Entities Not Under Common Control The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer in a transfer of functions between entities not under common control. No significant impact expected as no such transactions or events are expected in the foreseeable future. | Unknown |
| GRAP 107 (Original – Nov 2010) | Mergers The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the combined entity and combining entities in a merger. No significant impact expected as no such transactions or events are expected in the foreseeable future. | Unknown |
| IGRAP 11 | Consolidation - Special Purpose Entities (SPE) The objective of this Interpretation of the Standard is to prescribe under what circumstances an entity should consolidate a SPE. No significant impact is expected as the Municipality does not have any SPE's at this stage. | Unknown |

| IGRAP 12 | Jointly Controlled Ent | ities non-monetary | Unknown |
|----------|--|---|---------|
| | The objective of this Interpretato prescribe the treatment asset is sold or contributed Jointly Controlled Entity (JCE) | of profit/loss when an by the venturer to a | |
| | No significant impact is expedition of the significant impact is expeditional to the significant in the significant impact is expeditional to the significant impact in the significant impact is expeditional to the significant impact in the significant impact is expeditional to the significant impact in the significant impact is expeditional to the significant impact in the significant impact is expeditional to the significant impact in the significant impact is expeditional to the significant impact in the significant in the significant impact in the signi | | |

These standards, amendments and interpretations will not have a significant impact on the Municipality once implemented.

1.7. RESERVES

1.7.1 Accumulated Surplus

The accumulated surplus, representing the net difference between total assets and total liabilities, is utilised to fund any future operating expenditure to be incurred. Any surpluses and shortages realised during a specific financial year are credited/debited against accumulated surplus. Prior year adjustments, relating to income and expenditure, are debited/credit against accumulated surplus when retrospective adjustments are made.

1.7.2 Capital Replacement Reserve (CRR)

In order to finance the provision of infrastructure and other items of property, plant and equipment from internal sources, amounts are transferred from the accumulated surplus/(deficit) to the CRR. The cash in the CRR can only be utilized to finance items of property, plant and equipment. The CRR is reduced and the accumulated surplus/(deficit) are credited by a corresponding amount when the amounts in the CRR are utilised.

1.8. LEASES

1.8.1 Municipality as Lessee

Leases are classified as finance leases where substantially all the risks and rewards associated with ownership of an asset are transferred to the municipality. Property, plant and equipment or intangible assets subject to finance lease agreements are initially recognised at the lower of the asset's fair value and the present value of the minimum lease payments. The corresponding liabilities are initially recognised at the inception of the lease and are measured as the sum of the minimum lease payments due in terms of the lease agreement, discounted for the effect of interest. The discount rate to be used in calculating the present value of the minimum lease payments is the interest rate implicit in the lease, if this is practicable to determine; if not, the lessee's incremental borrowing rate shall be used. Any initial direct costs of the lessee are added to the amount recognised as an asset. The interest rate implicit in the lease is the discount rate that, at the inception of the lease, causes the aggregate present value of the minimum lease payments, and the unguaranteed residual value to be equal to the sum of: the fair value of the leased asset, and any initial direct costs of the lessor.

Subsequent to initial recognition, the leased assets are accounted for in accordance with the stated accounting policies applicable to property, plant and equipment or intangibles. The lease liability is reduced by the lease payments, which are allocated between the lease finance cost and the capital repayment using the effective interest rate method. Lease finance costs are expensed when incurred.

The accounting policies relating to derecognition of financial instruments are applied to lease payables.

Operating leases are those leases that do not fall within the scope of the above definition. Operating lease payments are recognised on a straight-line basis over the term of the relevant lease.

Where the actual lease payment is more than the straight-line lease payment the difference is accounted for as operating lease asset. Where the actual lease payment is less than the straight-line lease payment the difference is accounted for as an operating lease liability.

1.8.2 Municipality as Lessor

Under a finance lease, the municipality recognises the lease payments to be received in terms of a lease agreement as an asset (receivable). The receivable is calculated as the sum of all the minimum lease payments to be received, plus any unguaranteed residual accruing to the municipality, discounted at the interest rate implicit in the lease. The receivable is reduced by the capital portion of the lease instalments received, with the interest portion being recognised as interest revenue on a time proportionate basis. The accounting policies relating to derecognition and impairment of financial instruments are applied to lease receivables.

Operating leases are those leases that do not fall within the scope of the above definition. Operating lease revenue is recognised on a straight-line basis over the term of the relevant lease.

Operating lease revenue is disclosed in the Statement of Financial Performance in the line item "Rental of Facilities and Equipment".

1.9. GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND RECEIPTS

1.9.1 Unspent Conditional Government Grants and Receipts

Conditional government grants are subject to specific conditions. If these specific conditions are not met, the monies received are repayable.

Unspent conditional grants are financial liabilities that are separately reflected on the Statement of Financial Position. They represent unspent government grants and subsidies.

This liability always has to be cash-backed. The following provisions are set for the creation and utilisation of this creditor:

- Unspent conditional grants are recognised as a liability when the grant is received.
- When grant conditions are met an amount equal to the conditions met are transferred to revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.
- The cash which backs up the creditor is invested as individual investment or part of the general investments of the municipality until it is utilised.
- Interest earned on the investment is treated in accordance with grant conditions. If it is payable to the funder it is recorded as part of the creditor. If it is the municipality's interest it is recognised as interest earned in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.9.2 Unpaid Conditional Government Grants and Receipts

Unpaid conditional grants are assets in terms of the Framework that are separately reflected on the Statement of Financial Position. The asset is recognised when the Municipality has an enforceable right to receive the grant or if it is virtually certain that it will be received based on that grant conditions have been met. They represent unpaid government grants, subsidies and contributions from the public.

The following provisions are set for the creation and utilisation of the grants as receivables:

• Unpaid conditional grants are recognised as an asset when the grant is receivable.

1.10. UNSPENT PUBLIC CONTRIBUTIONS

Public contributions are subject to specific conditions. If these specific conditions are not met, the monies received are repayable.

Unspent public contributions are financial liabilities that are separately reflected on the Statement of Financial Position. They represent unspent contributions from the public.

This liability always has to be cash-backed. The following provisions are set for the creation and utilisation of this creditor:

- Unspent public contributions are recognised as a liability when the grant is received.
- When grant conditions are met an amount equal to the conditions met are transferred to revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.
- The cash which backs up the creditor is invested as individual investment or part of the general investments of the municipality until it is utilised.
- Interest earned on the investment is treated in accordance with the public
 contribution conditions. If it is payable to the funder it is recorded as part of the
 creditor. If it is the municipality's interest it is recognised as interest earned in
 the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.11. PROVISIONS

Provisions are recognised when the municipality has a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of past events, it is probable that an outflow of resource embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate of the provision can be made. Provisions are reviewed at reporting date and adjusted to reflect the current best estimate. Where the effect of the time value of money is material, the amount of a provision shall be the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the obligation. The discount rate shall be a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability. The discount rate shall not reflect risks for which future cash flow estimates have been adjusted.

The municipality does not recognise a contingent liability or contingent asset. A contingent liability is disclosed unless the possibility of an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential is remote. A contingent asset is disclosed where an inflow of economic benefits or service potential is possible.

Future events that may affect the amount required to settle an obligation are reflected in the amount of a provision where there is sufficient objective evidence that they will occur. Gains from the expected disposal of assets are not taken into account in measuring a provision. Provisions are not recognised for future

operating losses. The present obligation under an onerous contract is recognised and measured as a provision.

A provision for restructuring costs is recognised only when the following criteria over and above the recognition criteria of a provision have been met:

- (a) The municipality has a detailed formal plan for the restructuring identifying at least:
 - the business or part of a business concerned;
 - the principal locations affected;
 - the location, function and approximate number of employees who will be compensated for terminating their services;
 - the expenditures that will be undertaken; and
 - when the plan will be implemented.
- (b) The municipality has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.

The amount recognised as a provision shall be the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the present obligation at the reporting date.

If it is no longer probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation, the provision shall be reversed.

1.12. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

(a) Post Retirement Medical Obligations

The municipality provides post-retirement medical benefits by subsidizing the medical aid contributions of certain retired staff according to the rules of the medical aid funds. Council pays 70% as contribution and the remaining 30% is paid by the members. The entitlement to these benefits is usually conditional on the employee remaining in service up to retirement age and the completion of a minimum service period. The present value of the defined benefit liability is actuarially determined in accordance with GRAP 25 – Employee benefits (using a discount rate applicable to high quality government bonds). The plan is unfunded.

These contributions are charged to the Statement of Financial Performance when employees have rendered the service entitling them to the contribution. The liability was calculated by means of the projected unit credit actuarial valuation method. The liability in respect of current pensioners is regarded as fully accrued, and is therefore not split between a past (or accrued) and future in-service element. The liability is recognised at the fair value of the obligation. Payments made by the municipality are set-off against the liability, including notional interest, resulting from the valuation by the actuaries and are charged against the Statement of Financial Performance as employee benefits upon valuation.

Actuarial gains and losses arising from the experience adjustments and changes in actuarial assumptions are charged or credited to the Statement of Financial Performance in the period that it occurs. These obligations are valued periodically by independent qualified actuaries.

(b) Long Service Awards

Long service awards are provided to employees who achieve certain predetermined milestones of service within the municipality. The municipality's obligation under these plans is valued by independent qualified actuaries periodically and the corresponding liability is raised. Payments are set-off against the liability, including notional interest, resulting from the valuation by the actuaries and are charged against the Statement of Financial Performance as employee benefits upon valuation. Defined benefit plans are post-employment plans other than defined contribution plans.

Actuarial gains and losses arising from the experience adjustments and changes in actuarial assumptions are charged or credited to the Statement of Financial Performance in the period that it occurs. These obligations are valued periodically by independent qualified actuaries.

(c) Accrued Leave Pay

Liabilities for annual leave are recognised as they accrue to employees. The liability is based on the total amount of leave days due to employees at year end and also on the total remuneration package of the employee.

Accumulating leave is carried forward and can be used in future periods if the current period's entitlement is not used in full. All unused leave will be paid out to the specific employee at the end of that employee's employment term.

Accumulated leave is vesting.

(d) Performance Bonuses

A performance bonus is only recognised when the municipality has a present legal or constructive obligation to make such payments as a result of past events and a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made.

A provision, in respect of the liability relating to the anticipated costs of performance bonuses payable to Section 57 employees, is maintained. Municipal entities' performance bonus provisions are based on the employment contract stipulations as well as previous performance bonus payment trends.

Performance bonuses are subject to Council approval.

(e) Pension and Retirement Fund Obligations

The municipality provides retirement benefits for its employees and councillors.

Defined contribution plans are post-employment benefit plans under which an entity pays fixed contributions into a separate entity (a fund) and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the fund does not hold sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods. The contributions to fund obligations for the payment of retirement benefits are charged against income in the year they become payable. Defined benefit plans are post-employment benefit plans other than defined contribution plans. The defined benefit funds, which are administered on a provincial basis, are actuarially valued tri-annually on the projected unit credit method basis. Deficits identified are recovered through lump sum payments or increased future contributions on a proportional basis to all participating municipalities. The contributions and lump sum payments are charged against income in the year they become payable. Sufficient information is not available to use defined benefit accounting for a multi-employer plan. As a result, defined benefit plans have been accounted for as if they were defined contribution plans.

The municipality contributes to both defined benefit plans and defined contribution plans. However, based on the inability of the municipality to obtain the necessary information to comply with the disclosure requirements of a defined benefit plan

(refer to note 4.3), all retirement funds are accounted for as defined contribution plans.

(f) Other Short Term Employee Benefits

When an employee has rendered service to the entity during a reporting period, the entity recognises the undiscounted amount of short-term employee benefits expected to be paid in exchange for that service as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any amount already paid. If the amount already paid exceeds the undiscounted amount of the benefits, the entity recognises that excess as an asset (prepaid expense) to the extent that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a cash refund; and as an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the benefits in the cost of an asset.

1.13. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

1.13.1 Initial Recognition

Property, plant and equipment are tangible non-current assets (including infrastructure assets) that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, rental to others, or for administrative purposes, and are expected to be used during more than one reporting period. Items of property, plant and equipment are initially recognised as assets on acquisition date and are initially recorded at cost. The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment shall be recognised as an asset if, and only if it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the entity, and the cost or fair value of the item can be measured reliably. The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is the purchase price and other costs attributable to bring the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by the municipality. Trade discounts and rebates are deducted in arriving at the cost. The cost also includes the necessary costs of dismantling and removing the asset and restoring the site on which it is located.

Where an asset is acquired by the municipality for no or nominal consideration (i.e. a non-exchange transaction), the cost is deemed to be equal to the fair value of that asset on the date acquired.

Where an item of property, plant and equipment is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or monetary assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the assets acquired are initially measured at fair value (the cost). If the acquired item's fair value was not determinable, it's deemed cost is the carrying amount of the asset(s) given up.

Major spare parts and servicing equipment qualify as property, plant and equipment when the municipality expects to use them during more than one period. Similarly, if the major spare parts and servicing equipment can be used only in connection with an item of property, plant and equipment, they are accounted for as property, plant and equipment.

1.13.2 Subsequent Measurement – Cost Model

Subsequent to initial recognition, items of property, plant and equipment are measured at cost less any accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses. Land is not depreciated as it is deemed to have an indefinite useful life.

Where the municipality replaces parts of an asset, it derecognises the part of the asset being replaced and capitalises the new component. Subsequent expenditure

incurred on an asset is capitalised when it increases the capacity or future economic benefits or service potential associated with the asset.

1.13.3 Depreciation and Impairment

Depreciation is calculated on the depreciable amount, using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Depreciation of an asset begins when it is available for use, i.e. when it is in the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Components of assets that are significant in relation to the whole asset and that have different useful lives are depreciated separately. The estimated useful lives, residual values and depreciation method are reviewed at each year end, with the effect of any changes in estimate accounted for on a prospective basis. The annual depreciation rates are based on the following estimated useful lives:

| | Years | | Years |
|-------------------------|--------|------------------------|-------|
| <u>Infrastructure</u> | | <u>Other</u> | |
| Streets and Stormwater | 10-120 | Buildings | 100 |
| Electricity | 20-50 | Office Equipment | 4-35 |
| Water | 10-55 | Furniture and Fittings | 1-35 |
| Sewerage and sanitation | 10-55 | Electrical Equipment | 2-14 |
| · · | | Motor Vehicles | 5-44 |
| <u>Community</u> | | Mechanical Equipment | 4-10 |
| Recreational Facilities | 100 | Computer equipment | 5-12 |
| Cemetery | 23-25 | | |
| • | | Landfill Sites | 70 |

Finance lease assets

Office equipment 8-9

Property, plant and equipment are reviewed at each reporting date for any indication of impairment. If any such indication exists, the asset's recoverable amount is estimated. The impairment charged to the Statement of Financial Performance is the excess of the carrying value over the recoverable amount.

An impairment is reversed only to the extent that the asset's carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment been recognised. A reversal of impairment is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.13.5 De-recognition

Items of property, plant and equipment are derecognised when the asset is disposed or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset. The gain or loss arising on the disposal or retirement of an item of property, plant and equipment is determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying value and is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.13.6 Land and Buildings and Other Assets – Application of Deemed Cost (Directive 7)

The municipality opted to take advantage of the transitional provisions as contained in Directive 7 of the Accounting Standards Board, issued in December 2009. The municipality applied deemed cost where the acquisition cost of an asset could not be determined. For Land and Buildings the fair value as determined by a valuator

was used in order to determine the deemed cost as on 1 July 2007. For Other Assets the depreciated replacement cost method was used to establish the deemed cost as on 1 July 2007.

1.14. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

1.14.1 Initial Recognition

An intangible asset is an identifiable non-monetary asset without physical substance.

An asset meets the identifiable criterion in the definition of an intangible asset when it:

- is separable, i.e. is capable of being separated or divided from the entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or together with a related contract, asset or liability; or
- arises from contractual rights (including rights arising from binding arrangements) or other legal rights (excluding rights granted by statute), regardless of whether those rights are transferable or separable from the entity or from other rights and obligations.

The municipality recognises an intangible asset in its Statement of Financial Position only when it is probable that the expected future economic benefits or service potential that are attributable to the asset will flow to the municipality and the cost or fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

Internally generated intangible assets are subject to strict recognition criteria before they are capitalised. Research expenditure is never capitalised, while development expenditure is only capitalised to the extent that:

- the municipality intends to complete the intangible asset for use or sale;
- it is technically feasible to complete the intangible asset;
- the municipality has the resources to complete the project; and
- it is probable that the municipality will receive future economic benefits or service potential.

Intangible assets are initially recognised at cost.

Where an intangible asset is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or monetary assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the asset acquired is initially measured at fair value (the cost). If the acquired item's fair value was not determinable, the deemed cost is the carrying amount of the asset(s) given up.

1.14.2 Subsequent Measurement – Cost Model

Intangible assets are subsequently carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and any accumulated impairments. The cost of an intangible asset is amortised over the useful life where that useful life is finite. Where the useful life is indefinite, the asset is not amortised but is subject to an annual impairment test.

1.14.3 Amortisation and Impairment

Amortisation is charged so as to write off the cost or valuation of intangible assets over their estimated useful lives using the straight line method. Amortisation of an asset begins when it is available for use, i.e. when it is in the condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Components of assets that are significant in relation to the whole asset and that have different

useful lives are amortised separately. The estimated useful lives, residual values and amortisation method are reviewed at each year end, with the effect of any changes in estimate accounted for on a prospective basis. The annual amortisation rates are based on the following estimated useful lives:

<u>Intangible Assets</u> Years Computer Software 5

1.14.4 De-recognition

Intangible assets are derecognised when the asset is disposed or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset. The gain or loss arising on the disposal or retirement of an intangible asset is determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying value and is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.14.5 Application of deemed cost (Directive 7)

The municipality opted to take advantage of the transitional provisions as contained in Directive 7 of the Accounting Standards Board, issued in December 2009. The Municipality applied deemed cost where the acquisition cost of an asset could not be determined. For Intangible Assets the depreciated replacement cost method was used to establish the deemed cost as on 1 July 2007.

1.15. INVESTMENT PROPERTY

1.15.1 Initial Recognition

Investment property shall be recognised as an asset when, and only when:

- it is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential that are associated with the investment property will flow to the entity, and
- the cost or fair value of the investment property can be measured reliably.

Investment property includes property (land or a building, or part of a building, or both land and buildings held under a finance lease) held to earn rentals and/or for capital appreciation, rather than held to meet service delivery objectives, the production or supply of goods or services, or the sale of an asset in the ordinary course of operations. Property with a currently undetermined use is also classified as investment property.

At initial recognition, the municipality measures investment property at cost including transaction costs once it meets the definition of investment property. However, where an investment property was acquired through a non-exchange transaction (i.e. where it acquired the investment property for no or a nominal value), its cost is its fair value as at the date of acquisition. The cost of self-constructed investment property is measured at cost.

Transfers are made to or from investment property only when there is a change in use. For a transfer from investment property to owner occupied property, the deemed cost for subsequent accounting is the fair value at the date of change in use. If owner occupied property becomes an investment property, the municipality accounts for such property in accordance with the policy stated under property, plant and equipment up to the date of change in use.

1.15.2 Subsequent Measurement – Cost Model

Subsequent to initial recognition, items of investment property are measured at cost less any accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses. Land is not depreciated as it is deemed to have an indefinite useful life. Buildings are depreciated over 100 years.

1.15.3 De-recognition

An investment property shall be derecognised (eliminated from the statement of financial position) on disposal or when the investment property is permanently withdrawn from use and no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its disposal. The gain or loss arising on the disposal or retirement of an item of investment property is determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying value and is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.15.4 Application of deemed cost - Directive 7

The municipality opted to take advantage of the transitional provisions as contained in Directive 7 of the Accounting Standards Board, issued in December 2009. The Municipality applied deemed cost where the acquisition cost of an asset could not be determined. The fair value as determined by a valuator was used in order to determine the deemed cost as on 1 July 2007.

1.16. IMPAIRMENT OF NON-FINANCIAL ASSETS

1.16.1 Cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return.

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is an indication that an asset may be impaired. If any indication exists, or when annual impairment testing for an asset is required, the municipality estimates the asset's recoverable amount.

An asset's recoverable amount is the higher of an asset's or cash-generating units (CGU) fair value less costs to sell and its value in use and is determined for an individual asset, unless the asset does not generate cash inflows that are largely independent of those from other assets or groups of assets. Where the carrying amount of an asset or CGU exceeds its recoverable amount, the asset is considered impaired and is written down to its recoverable amount. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. In determining fair value less costs to sell, an appropriate valuation model is used. Impairment losses are recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance in those expense categories consistent with the function of the impaired asset.

An assessment is made at each reporting date as to whether there is any indication that previously recognised impairment losses may no longer exist or may have decreased. If such indication exists, the municipality estimates the asset's or cash-generating unit's recoverable amount. A previously recognised impairment loss is reversed only if there has been a change in the assumptions used to determine the asset's recoverable amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The reversal is limited so that the carrying amount of the asset does not exceed its recoverable amount, nor exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of depreciation, had no impairment loss been recognised for the

asset in prior years. Such reversal is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.16.2 Non-cash-generating assets

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

The Municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is an indication that an asset may be impaired. If any indication exists, or when annual impairment testing for an asset is required, the Municipality estimates the asset's recoverable service amount.

An asset's recoverable service amount is the higher of a non-cash-generating asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use. If the recoverable service amount of an asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable service amount. That reduction is an impairment loss recorded in the Statement of Financial Performance.

The value in use of a non-cash-generating asset is the present value of the asset's remaining service potential. The present value of the remaining service potential of the asset is determined using the following approach:

• depreciated replacement cost approach - the present value of the remaining service potential of an asset is determined as the depreciated replacement cost of the asset. The replacement cost of an asset is the cost to replace the asset's gross service potential. This cost is depreciated to reflect the asset in its used condition. An asset may be replaced either through reproduction (replication) of the existing asset or through replacement of its gross service potential. The depreciated replacement cost is measured as the reproduction or replacement cost of the asset, whichever is lower, less accumulated depreciation calculated on the basis of such cost, to reflect the already consumed or expired service potential of the asset.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

The Municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for an asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the Municipality estimates the recoverable service amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for an asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable service amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. If this is the case, the carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable service amount. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods. Such a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.17. NON CURRENT INVESTMENTS

Financial instruments, which include, investments in municipal entities and fixed deposits invested in registered commercial banks, are stated at amortised cost.

Where investments have been impaired, the carrying value is adjusted by the impairment loss, which is recognised as an expense in the period that the impairment is identified.

On disposal of an investment, the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount is charged or credited to the Statement of Financial Performance.

The carrying amounts of such investments are reduced to recognise any decline, other than a temporary decline, in the value of individual investments.

1.18. INVENTORIES

1.18.1 Initial Recognition

Inventories comprise current assets held for sale, consumption or distribution during the ordinary course of business. Inventories are initially recognised at cost. Cost generally refers to the purchase price, plus non-recoverable taxes, transport costs and any other costs in bringing the inventories to their current location and condition. Where inventory is manufactured, constructed or produced, the cost includes the cost of labour, materials and overheads used during the manufacturing process.

Where inventory is acquired by the municipality for no or nominal consideration (i.e. a non-exchange transaction), the cost is deemed to be equal to the fair value of the item on the date acquired, unless they are to be distributed at no or nominal charge or consumed in the production process of goods to be distributed at no charge or for a nominal charge.

Water inventory is measured at every reporting period and recognised at cost.

.

1.18.2 Subsequent Measurement

Inventories, consisting of consumable stores, raw materials, work-in-progress and finished goods, are valued at the lower of cost and net realisable value unless they are to be distributed at no or nominal charge, in which case they are measured at the lower of cost and current replacement cost. Redundant and slow-moving inventories are identified and written down as previously mentioned. Differences arising on the valuation of inventory are recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance in the year in which they arose. The amount of any reversal of any write-down of inventories arising from an increase in net realisable value or current replacement cost is recognised as a reduction in the amount of inventories recognised as an expense in the period in which the reversal occurs.

The carrying amount of inventories is recognised as an expense in the period that the inventory was sold, distributed, written off or consumed, unless that cost qualifies for capitalisation to the cost of another asset.

In general, the basis of allocating cost to inventory items is the weighted average method.

Cost of land held for sale is assigned by using specific identification of their individual costs

1.19 BIOLOGICAL ASSETS

1.19.1 Initial Recognition

A biological asset or agricultural produce is recognised when, and only when:

- the Municipality controls the asset as a result of past events;
- it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the asset will flow to the municipality;

and the fair value or cost of the asset can be measured reliably.

Biological assets are initially measured at their fair value less estimated point-ofsale costs.

1.19.2 Subsequent Measurement

Biological assets are measured at their fair value less estimated point-of-sale costs.

The fair value of game is determined based on market prices of livestock of similar age, breed, and genetic merit in the local industry. Game is considered to be consumable biological assets.

A gain or loss arising on initial recognition of biological assets at fair value less estimated point-of-sale costs is included in profit or loss for the period in which it arises.

1.20. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Financial instruments recognised on the Statement of Financial Position include receivables (both from exchange transactions and non-exchange transactions), cash and cash equivalents, annuity loans and payables (both from exchange transactions and non-exchange transactions).

1.20.1 Initial Recognition

Financial instruments are initially recognised when the municipality becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument at fair value plus, in the case of a financial asset or financial liability not subsequently measured at fair value, transaction costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition or issue of the financial asset or financial liability

1.20.2 Subsequent Measurement

Financial Assets are categorised according to their nature as either financial assets at fair value, financial assets at amortised cost or financial assets at cost., Financial Liabilities are categorised as either at fair value, financial liabilities at cost or financial liabilities carried at amortised cost ("other"). The subsequent measurement of financial assets and liabilities depends on this categorisation.

1.20.2.1 Receivables

Receivables are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method.

For amounts due from debtors carried at amortised cost, the Municipality first assesses whether objective evidence of impairment exists individually for financial assets that are individually significant, or collectively for financial assets that are not individually significant. Objective evidence of impairment includes significant financial difficulties of the debtor, probability that the debtor will enter bankruptcy or financial reorganisation and default or delinquency in payments (more than 90 days overdue). If the Municipality determines that no objective evidence of impairment exists for an individually assessed financial asset, whether significant or not, it includes the asset in a group of financial assets with similar credit risk characteristics and collectively assesses them for impairment. Assets that are individually assessed for impairment and for which an impairment loss is, or continues to be, recognised are not included in a collective assessment of impairment.

If there is objective evidence that an impairment loss has been incurred, the amount of the loss is measured as the difference between the assets's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows (excluding future expected credit losses that have not yet been incurred). The carrying amount of the asset is reduced through the use of an allowance account and the amount of the loss is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance. Interest income continues to be accrued on the reduced carrying amount based on the original effective interest rate of the asset. Loans together with the associated allowance are written off when there is no realistic prospect of future recovery and all collateral has been realised or has been transferred to the municipality. If, in a subsequent year, the amount of the estimated impairment loss increases or decreases because of an event occurring after the impairment was recognised, the previously recognised impairment loss is increased or reduced by adjusting the allowance account. If a future write-off is later recovered, the recovery is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

The present value of the estimated future cash flows is discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate, if material. If a loan has a variable interest rate, the discount rate for measuring any impairment loss is the current effective interest rate.

1.20.2.2 Payables and Annuity Loans

Financial liabilities consist of payables and annuity loans. They are categorised as financial liabilities held at amortised cost, and are initially recognised at fair value and subsequently measured at amortised cost using an effective interest rate, which is the initial carrying amount, less repayments, plus interest.

1.20.2.3 Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash includes cash on hand (including petty cash) and cash with banks. Cash equivalents are short-term highly liquid investments, readily convertible into known amounts of cash that are held with registered banking institutions with maturities of three months or less and are subject to an insignificant risk of change in value. For the purposes of the cash flow statement, cash and cash equivalents comprise cash on hand, highly liquid deposits and net of bank overdrafts. The municipality categorises cash and cash equivalents as financial assets carried at amortised cost.

Bank overdrafts are recorded based on the facility utilised. Finance charges on bank overdraft are expensed as incurred. Amounts owing in respect of bank overdrafts are categorised as financial liabilities: other financial liabilities carried at amortised cost.

1.20.3 De-recognition of Financial Instruments

1.20.3.1 Financial Assets

A financial asset (or, where applicable a part of a financial asset or part of a group of similar financial assets) is derecognised when:

- the contractual rights to the cash flows from the financial asset expire, are settled or waived; or
- the entity transfers to another party substantially all of the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset; or
- the entity, despite having retained some significant risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset, has transferred control of the asset to another party and the other party has the practical ability to sell the asset in its entirety to an unrelated third party, and is able to exercise that ability unilaterally and without needing to impose additional restrictions on the transfer. In this case, the entity shall:

- o derecognise the asset; and
- recognise separately any rights and obligations created or retained in the transfer

The carrying amounts of the transferred asset shall be allocated between the rights or obligations retained and those transferred on the basis of their relative fair values at the transfer date. Newly created rights and obligations shall be measured at their fair values at that date. Any difference between the consideration received and the amounts recognised and derecognised in accordance with this paragraph shall be recognised in surplus or deficit in the period of the transfer.

When the municipality has transferred its rights to receive cash flows from an asset or has entered into a pass-through arrangement, and has neither transferred nor retained substantially all the risks and rewards of the asset nor transferred control of the asset, a new asset is recognised to the extent of the municipality's continuing involvement in the asset.

Continuing involvement that takes the form of a guarantee over the transferred asset is measured at the lower of the original carrying amount of the asset and the maximum amount of consideration that the municipality could be required to repay.

When continuing involvement takes the form of a written and/or purchased option (including a cash settled option or similar provision) on the transferred asset, the extent of the municipality's continuing involvement is the amount of the transferred asset that the municipality may repurchase, except that in the case of a written put option (including a cash settled option or similar provision) on an asset measured at fair value, the extent of the municipality's continuing involvement is limited to the lower of the fair value of the transferred asset and the option exercise price.

1.20.3.2 Financial Liabilities

A financial liability is derecognised when the obligation under the liability is discharged or cancelled or expires.

When an existing financial liability is replaced by another from the same lender on substantially different terms, or the terms of an existing liability are substantially modified, such an exchange or modification is treated as a de-recognition of the original liability and the recognition of a new liability, and the difference in the respective carrying amounts is recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.20.4 Offsetting of Financial Instruments

Financial assets and financial liabilities are offset and the net amount reported in the Statement of Financial Position if, and only if, there is a currently enforceable legal right to offset the recognised amounts and there is an intention to settle on a net basis, or to realise the assets and settle the liabilities simultaneously.

1.21. REVENUE

1.21.1 Revenue from Non-Exchange Transactions

Revenue from non-exchange transactions refers to transactions where the municipality received revenue without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange. Revenue from non-exchange transactions is generally recognised to the extent that the related receipt or receivable qualifies for recognition as an asset and there is no liability to repay the amount.

Grants, transfers and donations received or receivable are recognised when the resources that have been transferred meet the criteria for recognition as an asset. A corresponding liability is recognised to the extent that the grant, transfer or donation is conditional. The liability is transferred to revenue as and when the conditions attached to the grant are met. Grants without any conditions attached are recognised as revenue when the asset is recognised.

Revenue from property rates is recognised when the legal entitlement to this revenue arises. At the time of initial recognition the full amount of revenue is recognised. If the Municipality does not enforce its obligation to collect the revenue, this would be considered as a subsequent event. Collection charges are recognised when such amounts are legally enforceable. Penalty interest on unpaid rates is recognised on a time proportionate basis as an exchange transaction.

Fine revenue constitutes both spot fines and summonses. Fines are recognised as revenue when the receivable meets the definition of an asset and satisfies the criteria for recognition as an asset

Revenue from public contributions and donations is recognised when all conditions associated with the contribution have been met or where the contribution is to finance property, plant and equipment, when such items of property, plant and equipment qualifies for recognition and first becomes available for use by the municipality. Where public contributions have been received but the municipality has not met the related conditions, it is recognised as an unspent public contribution (liability).

Revenue from third parties i.e. insurance payments for assets impaired, are recognised when it can be measured reliably and is not being offset against the related expenses of repairs or renewals of the impaired assets.

Contributed property, plant and equipment is recognised when such items of property, plant and equipment qualifies for recognition and become available for use by the municipality.

Unidentified deposits are initially recognised as a payable. When any such deposits are claimed, the deposit is transferred to the specific consumers account or other appropriate account. Deposits made in error are refunded when the source of the transaction becomes known.

After a period of twelve months all unidentified deposits into the municipality's bank account will be treated as revenue as historical patterns have indicated that minimal unidentified deposits are reclaimed after a period of twelve months. This assessment is performed annually at 30 June. Therefore the substance of these transactions indicate that even though the prescription period for unclaimed monies is legally three years, it is reasonable to recognised all unclaimed monies older than twelve months as revenue.

Revenue from the recovery of unauthorised, irregular, fruitless and wasteful expenditure is based on legislated procedures, including those set out in the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No. 56 of 2003) and is recognised when the recovery thereof is virtually certain.

Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable.

When, as a result of a non-exchange transaction, a municipality recognises an asset, it also recognises revenue equivalent to the amount of the asset measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition, unless it is also required to recognise a liability. Where a liability is required to be recognised it will be measured as the best estimate of the amount required to settle the present obligation at the reporting date, and the amount of the increase in net assets, if any, recognised as revenue. When a liability is subsequently reduced, because the taxable event occurs or a condition is satisfied, the amount of the reduction in the liability will be recognised as revenue.

1.21.2 Revenue from Exchange Transactions

Revenue from exchange transactions refers to revenue that accrued to the municipality directly in return for services rendered/ goods sold, the value of which approximates the consideration received or receivable.

Revenue from the sale of goods shall be recognised when all the following conditions have been satisfied:

- The Municipality has transferred to the purchaser the significant risks and rewards of ownership of the goods.
- The Municipality retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor effective control over the goods sold.
- The amount of revenue can be measured reliably.
- It is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the entity.
- The costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

At the time of initial recognition the full amount of revenue is recognised where the Municipality has an enforceable legal obligation to collect, unless the individual collectability is considered to be improbable. If the Municipality does not successfully enforce its obligation to collect the revenue this would be considered a subsequent event.

Service charges relating to electricity and water are based on consumption and a basic charge as per Council resolution. The majority of meters are read on a monthly basis and are recognised as revenue when invoiced. In exceptional instances, provisional estimates of consumption are made when meter readings have not been performed (due to the inability of the municipality to access the specific meter). The provisional estimates of consumption are recognised as revenue when invoiced. Adjustments to provisional estimates of consumption are made in the invoicing period in which meters have been read. These adjustments are recognised as revenue in the invoicing period.

Revenue from the sale of electricity prepaid meter cards is recognised at the point of sale. An adjustment is made at year-end for unused units.

Service charges relating to refuse removal are recognised on an annual basis in advance by applying the approved tariff to each property that has improvements. Tariffs are determined per category of property usage.

Service charges from sanitation (sewerage) are recognised on an annual basis in advance by applying the approved tariff to each property that has improvements.

Interest revenue is recognised using the effective interest rate method.

Revenue from the rental of facilities and equipment is recognised on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease agreement.

Revenue arising from the application of the approved tariff of charges is recognised when the relevant service is rendered by applying the relevant tariff. This includes the issuing of licences and permits.

Revenue from the sale of goods is recognised when substantially all the risks and rewards in those goods are passed to the consumer and the following conditions are met -

- the entity retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor
- effective control over the goods sold;
- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the entity;
- and the costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

Revenue arising out of situations where the municipality acts as an agent on behalf of another entity (the principal) is limited to the amount of any fee or commission payable to the municipality as compensation for executing the agreed services.

The amount of revenue arising on a transaction is usually determined by agreement between the entity and the purchaser or user of the asset or service. It is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable taking into account the amount of any trade discounts and volume rebates allowed by the entity.

In most cases, the consideration is in the form of cash or cash equivalents and the amount of revenue is the amount of cash or cash equivalents received or receivable. However, when the inflow of cash or cash equivalents is deferred, the fair value of the consideration may be less than the nominal amount of cash received or receivable. When the arrangement effectively constitutes a financing transaction, the fair value of the consideration is determined by discounting all future receipts using an imputed rate of interest. The imputed rate of interest is the more clearly determinable of either:

- The prevailing rate for a similar instrument of an issuer with a similar credit rating; or
- A rate of interest that discounts the nominal amount of the instrument to the current cash sales price of the goods or services.

The difference between the fair value and the nominal amount of the consideration is recognised as interest revenue.

When goods or services are exchanged or swapped for goods or services which are of a similar nature and value, the exchange is not regarded as a transaction that generates revenue. When goods are sold or services are rendered in exchange for dissimilar goods or services, the exchange is regarded as a transaction that generates revenue. The revenue is measured at the fair value of the goods or services received, adjusted by the amount of any cash or cash equivalents transferred. When the fair value of the goods or services received cannot be measured reliably, the revenue is measured at the fair value of the goods or services given up, adjusted by the amount of any cash or cash equivalents transferred.

When the outcome of a transaction involving the rendering of services can be estimated reliably, revenue associated with the transaction is recognised by

reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. The outcome of a transaction can be estimated reliably when all the following conditions are satisfied:

- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the entity
- the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date can be measured reliably; and
- the costs incurred for the transaction and the costs to complete the transaction can be measured reliably.

Grants, transfers and donations received or receivable are recognised when the resources that have been transferred meet the criteria for recognition as an asset. A corresponding liability is raised to the extent that the grant, transfer or donation is conditional. The liability is transferred to revenue as and when the conditions attached to the grant are met. Grants without any conditions attached are recognised as revenue when the asset is recognised.

Accounting Policy 1.9 describes the conditions under which revenue will be recognised by management derived from Government Grants and Receipts.

Accounting Policy 1.10 describes the conditions under which revenue will be recognised by management derived from Public Contributions.

1.22. RELATED PARTIES

The Municipality resolved to adopt the disclosure requirements as per GRAP 20 – "Related Party Disclosures".

A related party is a person or an entity:

- with the ability to control or jointly control the other party,
- or exercise significant influence over the other party, or vice versa,
- or an entity that is subject to common control, or joint control.

The following are regarded as related parties of the Municipality:

- (a) A person or a close member of that person's family is related to the Municipality if that person:
 - has control or joint control over the Municipality.
 - has significant influence over the Municipalities. Significant influence is the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of the Municipality.
 - is a member of the management of the Municipality or its controlling entity.
- (b) An entity is related to the Municipality if any of the following conditions apply:
 - the entity is a member of the same economic entity (which means that each controlling entity, controlled entity and fellow controlled entity is related to the others).
 - one entity is an associate or joint venture of the other entity (or an associate or joint venture of a member of an economic entity of which the other entity is a member).
 - both entities are joint ventures of the same third party.

- one entity is a joint venture of a third entity and the other entity is an associate of the third entity.
- the entity is a post-employment benefit plan for the benefit of employees of either the Municipality or an entity related to the Municipality. If the reporting entity is itself such a plan, the sponsoring employers are related to the entity.
- the entity is controlled or jointly controlled by a person identified in (a).
- a person identified in (a) has significant influence over that entity or is a member of the management of that entity (or its controlling entity).

Close members of the family of a person are those family members who may be expected to influence, or be influenced by that person in their dealings with the Municipality. A person is considered to be a close member of the family of another person if they:

- (a) are married or live together in a relationship similar to a marriage; or
- (b) are separated by no more than two degrees of natural or legal consanguinity or affinity.

Management (formerly known as "Key Management") includes all persons having the authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Municipality, including:

- (a) all members of the governing body of the Municipality;
- (b) a member of the governing body of an economic entity who has the authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Municipality;
- (c) any key advisors of a member, or sub-committees, of the governing body who has the authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Municipality; and
- (d) the senior management team of the Municipality, including the chief executive officer or permanent head of the Municipality, unless already included in (a).

Management personnel include:

- (a) All directors or members of the governing body of the Municipality, being the Executive Mayor, Deputy Mayor, Speaker and members of the Mayoral Committee.
- (b) Other persons having the authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the reporting Municipality being the Municipal Manager, Chief Financial Officer an all other managers reporting directly to the Municipal Manager or as designated by the Municipal Manager.

Remuneration of management includes remuneration derived for services provided to the Municipality in their capacity as members of the management team or employees. Benefits derived directly or indirectly from the Municipality for services in any capacity other than as an employee or a member of management do not meet the definition of remuneration. Remuneration of management excludes any consideration provided solely as a reimbursement for expenditure incurred by those persons for the benefit of the Municipality.

The Municipality operates in an economic environment currently dominated by entities directly or indirectly owned by the South African government. As a result of the Constitutional independence of all three spheres of government in South Africa, only parties within the same sphere of government will be considered to be related

parties. Only transactions with such parties which are not at arm's length and not on normal commercial terms are disclosed.

1.23. UNAUTHORISED EXPENDITURE

Unauthorised expenditure is expenditure that has not been budgeted or is expenditure that is not in terms of the conditions of an allocation received from another sphere of government, municipality or organ of state, or is expenditure in the form of a grant that is not permitted in terms of the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No. 56 of 2003). Unauthorised expenditure is accounted for as an expense in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.24. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE

Irregular expenditure is expenditure that is contrary to the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No. 56 of 2003), the Municipal Systems Act (Act No. 32 of 2000), the Public Office Bearers Act, and (Act. No. 20 of 1998) or is in contravention of the municipality's Supply Chain Management Policy. Irregular expenditure excludes unauthorised expenditure. Irregular expenditure is accounted for as expenditure in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.25. FRUITLESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURE

Fruitless and wasteful expenditure is expenditure that was made in vain and could have been avoided had reasonable care been exercised. Fruitless and wasteful expenditure is accounted for as expenditure in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.26. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

A contingent liability is a possible obligation that arises from past events and whose existence will be confirmed only by the occurrence or non-occurrence of one or more uncertain future events not wholly within the control of the entity. A contingent liability could also be a present obligation that arises from past events, but is not recognised because it is not probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to the obligation or the amount of the obligation cannot be measures with sufficient reliability.

Management judgement is required when recognising and measuring contingent liabilities.

1.27. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND ESTIMATES

In the process of applying the municipality's accounting policy, management has made the following significant accounting judgements, estimates and assumptions, which have the most significant effect on the amounts recognised in the financial statements:

Post Retirement Medical Obligations and Long Service Awards

The cost of post retirement medical obligations, long service awards and ex-gratia gratuities are determined using actuarial valuations. The actuarial valuation involves making assumptions about discount rates, expected rates of return on assets, future salary increases, mortality rates and future pension increases. Major assumptions used are disclosed in note 4 of the Annual Financial Statements. Due to the long-term nature of these plans, such estimates are subject to significant uncertainty.

Impairment of Receivables

The calculation in respect of the impairment of debtors is based on an assessment of the extent to which debtors have defaulted on payments already due, and an assessment of their ability to make payments based on their creditworthiness. This was performed per service-identifiable categories across all classes of debtors.

Property, Plant and Equipment

The useful lives of property, plant and equipment are based on management's estimation. Infrastructure's useful lives are based on technical estimates of the practical useful lives for the different infrastructure types, given engineering technical knowledge of the infrastructure types and service requirements. For other assets and buildings management considers the impact of technology, availability of capital funding, service requirements and required return on assets to determine the optimum useful life expectation, where appropriate. The estimation of residual values of assets is also based on management's judgement whether the assets will be sold or used to the end of their useful lives, and in what condition they will be at that time.

For deemed cost applied to other assets as per adoption of Directive 7, management used the depreciated replacement cost method which was based on assumptions about the remaining duration of the assets.

For deemed cost applied to land and buildings as per adoption of Directive 7, management made use of an independent valuator. The valuator's valuation was based on assumptions about the market's buying and selling trends and the remaining duration of the assets.

Management referred to the following when making assumptions regarding useful lives, deemed cost and residual values of property plant and equipment.

- Active selling prices in the market to determine residual values and deemed cost of movable assets.
- The useful life of movable assets was determined using the age of similar assets available for sale in the active market. Discussions with people within the specific industry were also held to determine useful lives.
- Local Government Industry Guides was used to assist with the deemed cost and useful life of infrastructure assets.
- Municipal Valuations were used to determine the cost of land and buildings
- The municipality referred to buildings in other municipal areas to determine the useful life of buildings. The municipality also consulted with engineers to support the useful life of buildings, with specific reference to the structural design of buildings.

Intangible Assets

The useful lives of intangible assets are based on management's estimation. Management considers the impact of technology, availability of capital funding, service requirements and required return on assets to determine the optimum useful life expectation, where appropriate.

For deemed cost applied to intangible assets as per adoption of Directive 7, management used the depreciated replacement cost method which was based on assumptions about the remaining duration of the assets.

Management referred to the following when making assumptions regarding useful lives of intangible assets:

- Reference was made to intangibles used within the municipality and other municipalities to determine the useful life of the assets.

Investment Property

The useful lives of investment property are based on management's estimation. Management considers the impact of technology, availability of capital funding, service requirements and required return on assets to determine the optimum useful life expectation, where appropriate. The estimation of residual values of assets is also based on management's judgement whether the assets will be sold or used to the end of their economic lives, and in what condition they will be at that time.

For deemed cost applied to Investment Property as per adoption of Directive 7, management made use of an independent valuator. The valuator's valuation was based on assumptions about the market's buying and selling trends and the remaining duration of the assets.

Management referred to the following when making assumptions regarding useful lives and valuation of investment property:

- Municipal Valuations were used to determine the cost of land and buildings
- The municipality referred to buildings in other municipal areas to determine the useful life of buildings. The municipality also consulted with professional engineers and qualified valuors to support the useful life of buildings.

Provisions and Contingent liabilities

Management judgement is required when recognising and measuring provisions and when measuring contingent liabilities. Provisions are discounted where the time value effect is material.

Management referred to the following when making assumptions regarding provisions:

- Professional engineers were utilised to determine the cost of rehabilitation of landfill sites as well as the remaining useful life of each specific landfill site.
- Interest rates (investment rate) linked to prime was used to calculate the effect of time value of money.

Revenue Recognition

Accounting Policy 1.22.1 on Revenue from Non-Exchange Transactions and Accounting Policy 1.22.2 on Revenue from Exchange Transactions describes the conditions under which revenue will be recognised by management of the municipality.

In making their judgement, management considered the detailed criteria for the recognition of revenue as set out in GRAP 9: Revenue from Exchange Transactions and GRAP 23: Revenue from Non-Exchange Transactions.). Specifically, whether the municipality, when goods are sold, had transferred to the buyer the significant risks and rewards of ownership of the goods and when services are rendered, whether the service has been performed. Revenue from the issuing of spot fines and summonses has been recognised when the receivable meets the definition of an asset and satisfies the criteria for recognition as an asset. The management of the municipality is satisfied that recognition of the revenue in the current year is appropriate.

Provision for Landfill Sites

The provision for rehabilitation of the landfill site is recognised as and when the environmental liability arises. The provision is calculated by a qualified environmental engineer. The provision represents the net present value of the expected future cash flows to rehabilitate the landfill site at year end. To the extent that the obligations relate to an asset, it is capitalised as part of the cost of those assets. Any subsequent changes to an obligation that did not relate to the initial related asset are charged to the Statement of Financial Performance.

Provision for Performance bonuses

The provision for performance bonuses represents the best estimate of the obligation at year end and is based on historic patterns of payment of performance bonuses. Performance bonuses are subject to an evaluation by council.

Provision for Staff leave

Staff leave is accrued to employees according to collective agreements. Provision is made for the full cost of accrued leave at reporting date. This provision will be realised as employees take leave. There is no possibility of reimbursement.

Componentisation of infrastructure assets

All infrastructure assets are unbundled into their significant components in order to depreciate all major components over the expected useful lives. The cost of each component is estimated based on the current market price of each component, depreciated for age and condition and recalculated to cost at the acquisition date if known or to the date of initially adopting the standards of GRAP.

1.28. TAXES - VALUE ADDED TAX

Revenue, expenses and assets are recognised net of the amounts of value added tax. The net amount of Value added tax recoverable from, or payable to, the taxation authority is included as part of receivables or payables in the Statement of Financial Position.

1.29. CAPITAL COMMITMENTS

Capital commitments disclosed in the financial statements represents the balance committed to capital projects on reporting date that will be incurred in the period subsequent to the specific reporting date.

1.30. AMENDED DISCLOSURE POLICY

Amendments to accounting policies are reported as and when deemed necessary based on the relevance of any such amendment to the format and presentation of the financial statements. The principal amendments to matters disclosed in the current financial statements include errors, and the treatment of assets financed by external grants.

1.31. EVENTS AFTER REPORTING DATE

Events after the reporting date are those events, both favourable and unfavourable, that occur between the reporting date and the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue. Two types of events can be identified:

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

- those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the reporting date (adjusting events after the reporting date); and
- those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting date (non-adjusting events after the reporting date).

If non-adjusting events after the reporting date are material, the Municipality discloses the nature and an estimate of the financial effect.

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | | | | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|-----|--|--|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | LONG-TERM LIABILI | TIES | | | | |
| 2.1 | ANNUITY LOANS | | | | | |
| | Annuity Loans - At ame Less: Current portion | ortised cost on of Annuity Loans - At amortised cost | | | 422 904 (197 961) | 596 999 (174 186 |
| | Total | | | | 224 943 | 422 80 |
| | The obligations under | annuity loans are scheduled below: | | | Minim annuity pa | |
| | Amounts payable under Payable within one year Payable within two to for Payable after five year | ar ive years | | | 247 601 247 547 - | 247 60 495 02 |
| | Lance Follows finance | | | | 495 148 | 742 62 |
| | Less: Future finance Present value of anne | | | | (72 244) 422 904 | (145 631 596 99 5 |
| | The loan is unsecured The municipality resolv | ised cost is calculated at 13.22% interest rate ved to restructure is DBSA liability after year-e liability (including the arrear portion disclosed | nd whereby 20 quarterly | payments will | | |
| 2.2 | will be charged on the | | | | | |
| | | oility - At amortised cost on of capitalised Lease Liability - At amortised | cost | | 826 727 (222 629) | 1 030 26 (203 536 |
| | Total | | | | 604 097 | 826 72 |
| | The obligations under | finance leases are scheduled below: | | | Minim lease pay | |
| | Amounts payable under Payable within one year Payable within two to f | ar ïve years | | | 288 000 672 000 | 288 000 960 000 |
| | Payable after five year | | | | 000 000 | 1 248 000 |
| | | a obligations | | | 960 000 | |
| | Less: Future finance Present value of leas | - | | | (133 273) 826 727 | (217 737 |
| | Less: Future finance Present value of leas | - | equipment - Note 11 | | (133 273) | (217 737 |
| | Less: Future finance Present value of leas Leases are secured by | e obligations | equipment - Note 11 | | (133 273) | (217 73 |
| | Less: Future finance Present value of leas Leases are secured by | e obligations / lease assets included in property, plant and of | equipment - Note 11 <u>Effective Interest rate</u> | Annual Escalation | (133 273) | (217 73) 1 030 26 |
| | Less: Future finance Present value of leas Leases are secured by The capitalised lease I | e obligations / lease assets included in property, plant and of iability consist out of the following contracts: Description of | Effective Interest | | (133 273) 826 727 | (217 737 1 030 26: Maturity Date |

| 3 | EMPLOYEE BENEFITS | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|---|--|-----------|-----------|
| | Post Retirement Benefits - Refer to Note 4.1 | 6 038 561 | 5 432 543 |
| | Long Service Awards - Refer to Note 4.2 | 711 194 | 726 845 |
| | Total Non-current Employee Benefit Liabilities | 6 749 755 | 6 159 388 |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Balance 1 July | | 5 804 231 | 3 751 443 |
|----|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| | Contribution for the year | | 164 691 | 98 588 |
| | Interest Cost Expenditure for the year | | 414 182 (309 446) | 326 839 (330 044) |
| | Actuarial Loss | _ | 471 087 | 1 957 405 |
| | Total post retirement benefits 30 June | _ | 6 544 745 | 5 804 231 |
| | Less: Transfer of Current Portion - Note 6 | _ | (506 184) | (371 688) |
| | Balance 30 June | - | 6 038 561 | 5 432 543 |
| | Long Service Awards | | | |
| | Balance 1 July | | 820 789 | 722 790 |
| | Contribution for the year | | 99 583 | 77 617 |
| | Interest Cost Actuarial Gain | | 48 382 (136 682) | 52 621 (32 239) |
| | Total long service 30 June | _ | 832 072 | 820 789 |
| | Less: Transfer of Current Portion - Note 6 | | (120 878) | (93 944) |
| | Balance 30 June | | 711 194 | 726 845 |
| | TOTAL NON-CURRENT EMPOLYEE BENEFITS | = | | |
| | Balance 1 July | | 6 625 020 | 4 474 233 |
| | Contribution for the year | | 264 274 | 176 205 |
| | Interest cost Expenditure for the year | | 462 564 (309 446) | 379 460 (330 044) |
| | Actuarial Loss | | 334 405 | 1 925 166 |
| | Total employee benefits 30 June | _ | 7 376 817 | 6 625 020 |
| | Less: Transfer of Current Portion - Note 6 | | (627 062) | (465 632) |
| | Balance 30 June | = | 6 749 755 | 6 159 388 |
| .1 | Post Retirement Benefits | | | |
| | The Post Retirement Benefit Plan is a defined benefit plan, of which the members are made | e up as follows: | | |
| | In-service (employee) members | | 21 | 24 |
| | In-service (employee) non-members Continuation members (e.g. Retirees, widows, orphans) | | 91 15 | 89 12 |
| | Total Members | _ | 15 | |
| | Lotal Members | | 407 | |
| | Total monisors | = | 127 | 125 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: | = | 127 | |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members | = | 2 034 435 | 2 687 112 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members | - | 2 034 435 4 510 310 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members | = - = | 2 034 435 | 2 687 112 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members | = - = | 2 034 435 4 510 310 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been | 2011 | 2 034 435 4 510 310 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been | 2011 3 751 443 | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been estimated as follows: | | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 2010 R | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 2009 R |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been estimated as follows: | | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 2010 R | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 2009 R |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been estimated as follows: | | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 2010 R | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 2009 R |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been estimated as follows: Total Liability | 3 751 443 = | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 2010 R 3 648 380 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 2009 R 3 342 743 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been estimated as follows: Total Liability Experience adjustments were calculated as follows: | 3 751 443 2013 Rm | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 2010 R 3 648 380 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 2009 R 3 342 743 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been estimated as follows: Total Liability Experience adjustments were calculated as follows: Liabilities: (Gain) / loss | 3 751 443 2013 Rm | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 2010 R 3 648 380 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 2009 R 3 342 743 |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been estimated as follows: Total Liability Experience adjustments were calculated as follows: Liabilities: (Gain) / loss | 3 751 443 2013 Rm | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 2010 R 3 648 380 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 2009 R 3 342 743 2011 Rm |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been estimated as follows: Total Liability Experience adjustments were calculated as follows: Liabilities: (Gain) / loss Assets: Gain / (loss) The following are estimates for the 2013/2014 financial year: Future Service Cost | 3 751 443 2013 Rm | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 2010 R 3 648 380 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 2009 R 3 342 743 2011 Rm |
| | The liability in respect of past service has been estimated to be as follows: In-service members Continuation members Total Liability The liability in respect of periods commencing prior to the comparative year has been estimated as follows: Total Liability Experience adjustments were calculated as follows: Liabilities: (Gain) / loss Assets: Gain / (loss) The following are estimates for the 2013/2014 financial year: | 3 751 443 2013 Rm 0.483 | 2 034 435 4 510 310 6 544 745 2010 R 3 648 380 | 2 687 112 3 117 119 5 804 231 2009 R 3 342 743 2011 Rm |

The municipality makes monthly contributions for health care arrangements to the following medical aid

schemes:

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| Dis Sa | nitas; covery mwumed; and yhealth. | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|--|--|---|
| Ke | y actuarial assumptions used: | % | % |
| i) | Rate of interest | | |
| | Discount rate Health Care Cost Inflation Rate Net Effective Discount Rate | 8.11% 7.69% 0.36% | 7.37% 6.98% 0.36% |
| | The discount rate used is a composite of all government bonds and is calculated using a technique is known as "bootstrapping" | | |
| ii) | Mortality rates | | |
| | The PA 90 ultimate table, rated down by 1 year of age was used by the actuaries. | | |
| iii) | Normal retirement age | | |
| | It has been assumed that in-service members will retire at age 60, which then implicitly allows for expected rates of early and ill-health retirement. | | |
| | | | |
| | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| The | e amounts recognised in the Statement of Financial Position are as follows: | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| | e amounts recognised in the Statement of Financial Position are as follows: | | |
| Pre | | R | R |
| Pre Ne | esent value of fund obligations | R 6 038 561 | R 5 804 231 |
| Pre Ne | esent value of fund obligations t liability | R 6 038 561 | R 5 804 231 |
| Pre Ne The Re | esent value of fund obligations t liability e fund is not supported by any plan assets | R 6 038 561 | R 5 804 231 |
| Pre Ne The Re Pre Tot Cu | esent value of fund obligations It liability It fund is not supported by any plan assets Conciliation of present value of fund obligation: Esent value of fund obligation at the beginning of the year | 6 038 561 6 038 561 5 804 231 | R 5 804 231 5 804 231 3 751 443 |
| Pre Ne Pre Tot Cu Inte Be | esent value of fund obligations t liability e fund is not supported by any plan assets conciliation of present value of fund obligation: esent value of fund obligation at the beginning of the year tal expenses rrent service cost erest Cost | 6 038 561 6 038 561 5 804 231 269 427 164 691 414 182 | 8 5 804 231 5 804 231 3 751 443 95 383 98 588 326 839 |
| Pre Ne The Re Pre Tot Cu Inte Bei Act | esent value of fund obligations It liability It fund is not supported by any plan assets Conciliation of present value of fund obligation: It is seen to support the seen the seen to support the seen the se | 6 038 561 6 038 561 5 804 231 269 427 164 691 414 182 (309 446) | 8 5 804 231 5 804 231 5 804 231 3 751 443 95 383 98 588 326 839 (330 044) |
| Presented Presen | esent value of fund obligations t liability e fund is not supported by any plan assets conciliation of present value of fund obligation: esent value of fund obligation at the beginning of the year ial expenses rrent service cost erest Cost nefits Paid | 6 038 561 6 038 561 5 804 231 269 427 164 691 414 182 (309 446) 471 087 | 8 5 804 231 5 804 231 5 804 231 3 751 443 95 383 98 588 326 839 (330 044) 1 957 405 |

Sensitivity Analysis on the Accrued Liability

| Assumption Central Assumptions | | In-service members liability (Rm) 2.034 | Continuation members liability (Rm) 4.510 | Total liability (Rm) 6.545 | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|----------|
| The effect of movements in the ass | sumptions are as follows: | | | | |
| | | In-service members liability | Continuation members liability | Total liability | |
| Assumption | Change | (Rm) | (Rm) | (Rm) | % change |
| Health care inflation | 1% | 2.439 | 4.796 | 7.235 | 11% |
| Health care inflation | -1% | 1.705 | 4.259 | 5.964 | -9% |
| Post-retirement mortality | -1 year | 2.127 | 4.737 | 6.864 | 5% |
| Average retirement age | -1 year | 2.274 | 4.510 | 6.784 | 4% |
| Withdrawal Rate | -50% | 2.319 | 4.510 | 6.829 | 4% |
| | | Current-service | | | |
| | | Cost | Interest Cost | Total | |
| Assumption | Change | (R) | (R) | (R) | % change |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Control Acquirentian | | 164 700 | 44.4.200 | F79 000 | |
|-----|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | Central Assumption | | 164 700 | 414 200 | 578 900 | |
| | The effect of movements in the assumptions | s are as follows: | | | | |
| | Health care inflation Health care inflation | 1% -1% | 200 500 136 000 | 463 300 373 000 | 663 800 509 000 | 15% -12% |
| | Post-retirement mortality | -1 year | 172 200 | 435 100 | 607 300 | 5% |
| | Average retirement age Withdrawal Rate | -1 year -50% | 181 700 198 400 | 430 200 436 400 | 611 900 634 800 | 6% 10% |
| | | | | | 2013 | 2012 |
| 2.2 | Lang Camina Banusas | | | | 2013 | 2012 |
| 3.2 | Long Service Bonuses | | | | | |
| | The Long Service Bonus plans are defined I | benefit plans. | | | | |
| | As at year end, the following number of emp | oloyees were eligible fo | r Long Service Bonuses. | | 105 | 95 |
| | | | - | = | | 2014 |
| | T. () | | | | | R R |
| | The following are estimates for the 2013/20 | 14 financial year: | | | | |
| | Future Service Cost Interest Cost | | | | | 75 483 54 360 |
| | Key actuarial assumptions used: | | | | | |
| | i) Rate of interest | | | | 2013 % | 2012 % |
| | Discount rate | | | | 7.04% | 6,25% |
| | General Salary Inflation (long-term) | | | | 6.72% | 5.98% |
| | Net Effective Discount Rate applied to s | , | | | 0.30% | 0.25% |
| | The discount rate used is a composite of known as "bootstrapping" | of all government bonds | s and is calculated using a | technique is | | |
| | | | | | 2013 | 2012 |
| | The amounts recognised in the Statemer | nt of Financial Position | n are as follows: | | R | R |
| | Present value of fund obligations | | | _ | 832 072 | 820 789 |
| | Net liability | | | = | 832 072 | 820 789 |
| | The fund is not supported by any plan asset | ts | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | The liability in respect of periods commencing | ng prior to the compara | tive year has been | | | |
| | estimated as follows: | | | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | | | | | R | R |
| | Total Liability | | _ | 722 790 | 66 978 | 67 850 |
| | | | | | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Reconciliation of present value of fund o | bligation: | | | R | R |
| | Present value of fund obligation at the begin | _ | | | 820 789 | 722 790 |
| | Total expenses | ming of the year | | _ | 147 965 | 130 238 |
| | Current service cost Interest Cost | | | | 99 583 48 382 | 77 617 52 621 |
| | Actuarial gains | | | | (136 682) | (32 239) |
| | Present value of fund obligation at the end of | of the year | | - | 832 072 | 820 789 |
| | Less: Transfer of Current Portion - Note 6 | 6 | | <u>-</u> | (120 878) | (93 944) |
| | Balance 30 June | | | = | 711 194 | 726 845 |
| | Sensitivity Analysis on the Unfunded Acc | crued Liability | | | | |
| | Assumption | | | Change | Liability (R m) | % change |
| | Central assumptions | | | | 0.832 | |
| | The effect of movements in the assumptions | s are as follows: | | | | |
| | | | | 407 | 0.070 | 201 |
| | General salary inflation General salary inflation | | | 1% -1% | 0.879 0.789 | 6% -5% |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

3.3

| | | 2 yrs -50% | 0.730 0.940 0.978 | -12% 13% 18% |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Current-service | | | |
| Assumption Change Central Assumption | Cost (R) 99 600 | Interest Cost (R) 48 400 | Total (R) 148 000 | % change |
| · | 33 000 | 40 400 | 140 000 | |
| The effect of movements in the assumptions are as follows: | | | | |
| General Salary Inflation 1% General Salary Inflation -1% | 104 800 94 900 | 51 200 45 800 | 156 000 140 700 | 5% -5% |
| Average retirement age -2 years | 90 300 | 41 800 | 132 100 | -11% |
| Average retirement age +2 years | 107 200 | 56 400 | 163 600 | 11% |
| Withdrawal Rate -50% | 121 100 | 56 900 | 178 000 | 20% |
| Retirement funds | | | | |
| The Municipality requested detailed employee and pensioner info Municipality's share of the Pension and Retirement Funds' assets administrator confirmed that assets of the Pension and Retirement employer. Therefore, the Municipality is unable to determine the vigraph of the Conference of the Municipality is unable to determine the vigraph | from the fund admir t Funds are not sp | nistrator. The fund lit per participating | | |
| As part of the Municipality's process to value the defined benefit pensioner data from the fund administrator. The fund administrator confidential and were not willing to share the information with the N data the Municipality was unable to calculate a reliable estimate pensioners who qualify for a defined benefit pension. | r claim that the per funicipality. Without | nsioner data to be detailed pensioner | | |
| Therefore, although the Cape Joint Retirement Fund is a Multi Emplan, it will be accounted for as defined contribution plan. All the redefined in GRAP 25.31. | | | | |
| CAPE RETIREMENT FUND | | | 2013 | 2012 |
| The contribution rate payable is 9% by members and 18% by performed for the year ended 30 June 2010 revealed that the fund funding level of 108.0% (30 June 2011 - 116.9%). | | | R | R |
| Contributions paid recognised in the Statement of Financial Performa | nce | | 363 694 | 354 302 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| DEFINED CONTRIBUTION FUNDS | | | K | K |
| Council contribute to the Municipal Council Pension Fund, SALA Provident Fund which are defined contribution funds. The retiremen Fund Act, 1956, with pension being calculated on the pensionable reby Council are charged against expenditure on the basis of current see | t benefit fund is sub emuneration paid. Co | ject to the Pension | | |
| Contributions paid recognised in the Statement of Financial Performa | nce | | | |
| Municipal Councillors Pension Fund | | | 54 427 | 32 809 |
| SALA Pension Fund | | | 2 203 569 | 1 721 981 |
| SAMWU National Provident Fund | | | 73 026 | 66 416 |
| | | | 2 331 023 | 1 821 207 |
| NON-CURRENT PROVISIONS | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Provision for Rehabilitation of Landfill-sites | | | 889 780 | 799 155 |
| | | | 889 780 | 799 155 |
| <u>Landfill Sites</u> | | | | |
| Landfill Sites Balance 1 July | | | 2 797 273 | 799 155 2 664 069 |
| <u>Landfill Sites</u> | | | | |
| Landfill Sites Balance 1 July Additions | | | 2 797 273 193 702 | 2 664 069 |
| Landfill Sites Balance 1 July Additions Unwinding of discounted interest | oote 7 | | 2 797 273 193 702 126 605 | 2 664 069 - 133 203 |
| Landfill Sites Balance 1 July Additions Unwinding of discounted interest Total provision 30 June | oote 7 | | 2 797 273 193 702 126 605 3 117 580 | 2 664 069 - 133 203 2 797 273 |
| Landfill Sites Balance 1 July Additions Unwinding of discounted interest Total provision 30 June Less: Transfer of Current Portion to Current Provisions - Refer to recommendations. | sed on the current ra | | 2 797 273 193 702 126 605 3 117 580 (2 227 800) 889 780 | 2 664 069 - 133 203 2 797 273 (1 998 117) 799 155 |
| Landfill Sites Balance 1 July Additions Unwinding of discounted interest Total provision 30 June Less: Transfer of Current Portion to Current Provisions - Refer to re Balance 30 June The estimated rehabilitation costs for each of the existing sites are based on the state of | sed on the current ra | ates for <u>Loxton</u> 22 800 | 2 797 273 193 702 126 605 3 117 580 (2 227 800) | 2 664 069 - 133 203 2 797 273 (1 998 117) |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| Cost of fence (Rand) | 217 000 | 280 000 | 262 500 |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|
| Shape, Trim and compact Dump (R5/m²) | 133 380 | 175 500 | 131 625 |
| Excavation cost (150mm @ R40/m³) | 112 000 | 168 480 | 126 360 |
| Placing cover material (150mm @ R20/m³) | - | - | 63 180 |
| Capping Layer (200mm @ R30/m³) | 112 000 | 168 480 | - |
| Re-vegetation (R2.55/m²) | 47 880 | 72 000 | - |
| Drainage System R4.22/m²) | 79 000 | 118 800 | 89 100 |
| Preliminary and general (Rand) | 105 200 | 147 490 | 100 915 |
| Fees and expenses (Rand) | 120 970 | 169 620 | 116 100 |
| | 927 430 | 1 300 370 | 889 780 |

Estimated decommission

<u>date</u>

Cost of

rehabilitation

(823 738)

366 135

(691 882)

306 050

Cost of

rehabilitation

The municipality has an obligation to rehabilitate landfill sites at the end of the expected useful life of the asset. Total cost and estimated date of decommission of the sites are as follows:

| | <u>—</u> | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Loxton | 2012 (Overdue) | 927 430 | 830 546 |
| Victoria Wes Richmond | 2012 (Overdue) 2016 | 1 300 370 889 780 | 1 167 572 799 155 |
| | | 3 117 580 | 2 797 273 |
| | | | |
| | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| CONSUMER DEPOSITS | | | |
| Electricity Water | | 128 698 74 654 | 113 144 59 075 |
| Total Consumer Deposits | • • | 203 351 | 172 219 |
| Guarantees held in lieu of Electricity and Water Deposits | | <u>-</u> <u>-</u> | - |
| The fair value of consumer deposits approximate their carrying value amounts. | alue. Interest are not paid on these | | |
| CURRENT EMPLOYEE BENEFITS | | | |
| Current Portion of Post Retirement Benefits - Note 3 | | 506 184 | 371 688 |
| Current Portion of Long-Service Provisions - Note 3 Staff Leave Accrual | | 120 878 1 496 185 | 93 944 927 104 |
| Bonus Accrual | _ | 366 136 | 306 051 |
| Total Current Employee Benefits | | 2 489 383 | 1 698 787 |
| The movement in current employee benefits are reconciled as fol | lows: | | |
| Staff Leave Accrual | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | | 927 103 | 821 338 |
| Contribution to current portion Expenditure incurred | | 766 089 (197 008) | 144 645 (38 879) |
| Balance at end of year | | 1 496 184 | 927 103 |
| Staff leave accrued to employees according to collective agreem accrued leave at reporting date. This provision will be realised as possibility of reimbursement. All leave is vesting, as all employee the end of their employment term. | employees take leave. There is no | | |
| Bonus Accrual | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year Contribution to current portion | | 306 050 883 823 | 266 427 731 505 |
| Expenditure incurred | | (823 738) | (601 882 |

Bonuses are being paid to all permanent municipal staff, excluding section 57 Managers. The balance at year end represent to portion of the bonus that have already vested for the current salary cycle. There is no possibility of reimbursement.

7 **PROVISIONS**

Expenditure incurred

Balance at end of year

Location

5

6

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Total Trade Payables | 9 859 507 | 5 528 159 |
|---|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Traffic Fines Control | 282 178 | 374 553 |
| | National Treasury Sundry Deposits | 2 104 000 44 205 | - 38 672 |
| | Retentions | - | 148 080 |
| | Balance Previously Reported Correction of error - Refer to note 37.01 Payments received in advance | 1 527 434 | 218 676 52 308 1 222 644 |
| | • | 399 500 | 270 984 |
| | Pre-paid electricity Sundry Creditors | 66 490 399 500 | 53 263 |
| | Arrear Portion of Long Term Liabilities | 848 687 | 739 987 |
| | Trade Payables | 4 587 014 | 2 679 976 |
| 8 | PAYABLES FROM EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS | | |
| | Total Provisions | 2 227 800 | 1 998 117 |
| | Current Portion of Rehabilitation of Landfill-sites - Refer to note 4 | 2 227 800 | 1 998 117 |

Payables are being recognised net of any discounts.

Payables are being paid within 30 days as prescribed by the MFMA. This credit period granted is considered to be consistent with the terms used in the public sector, through established practices and legislation. Discounting of trade and other payables on initial recognition is not deemed necessary

The carrying value of trade and other payables approximates its fair value.

All payables are unsecured.

Sundry deposits include hall, builders and housing Deposits.

The arrear portion of long term liabilities originated as a result of the municipality not settling in full the current portion of the annuity loan as disclosed in note 3.1.

Refer to note 43.2 where all finance charges on this arrear portion have been disclosed as fruitless and wasteful expenditure.

| | | R | R |
|------|--|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 9 | UNSPENT CONDITIONAL GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND RECEIPTS | | |
| | Unspent Grants | 3 810 214 | 4 482 977 |
| | National Government Grants Provincial Government Grants District Municipality | 3 530 585 - 90 630 | 4 117 545 176 433 |
| | Other Grant Providers | 188 999 | 188 999 |
| | Less: Unpaid Grants | 446 968 | 204 296 |
| | National Government Grants Provincial Government Grants | 31 067 415 901 | 204 296 - |
| | Total Conditional Grants and Receipts | 3 363 246 | 4 278 681 |
| | See appendix "D" for reconciliation of grants from other spheres of government. The municipality complied with the conditions attached to all grants received to the extent of revenue recognised. No grants were withheld. Unspent grants can mainly be attributed to projects that are work in progress on the relevant financial year-ends. | | |
| 10 | TAXES | | |
| 10.1 | VAT PAYABLE | | |
| | VAT Payable VAT output in suspense | 1 639 083 | 1 046 962 |
| | Total Vat payable | 1 639 083 | 1 046 962 |
| 10.2 | VAT RECEIVABLE | | |
| | VAT Receivable VAT input in suspense | 418 662 63 554 | 39 148 82 608 |
| | Total VAT receivable | 482 216 | 121 756 |
| 10.3 | NET VAT PAYABLE | 1 156 868 | 925 206 |
| | VAT is receivable/payable on the cash basis. | | |

2013

2012

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013 $\,$

11 PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

See attached sheet

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|
| INVESTMENT PROPERTY | | |
| Net Carrying amount at 1 July | 26 473 412 | 26 499 5 |
| Cost | 26 603 700 | 26 603 7 |
| Balance Previously Reported Correction of error - Refer to note 37.03 | | 16 321 2 10 282 5 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | (130 288) | (104 1 |
| Balance Previously Reported Correction of error - Refer to note 37.03 | | (91 6 (12 5 |
| Depreciation for the year | (26 029) | (26 1 |
| Balance Previously Reported Correction of error - Refer to note 37.03 | | (22 9 (3 1 |
| Net Carrying amount at 30 June | 26 447 383 | 26 473 |
| Cost Accumulated Depreciation | 26 603 700 (156 317) | 26 603 7 (130 2 |

There are no restrictions on the realisability of Investment Property or the remittance of revenue and proceeds of disposal.

There are no contractual obligations to purchase, construct or develop investment property or for repairs, maintenance or enhancements.

13 INTANGIBLE ASSETS

12

Computer Software

| Net Carrying amount at 1 July | 34 821 | 35 016 |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Cost | 54 775 | 44 330 |
| Accumulated Amortisation | (19 954) | (9 314) |
| Additions | 11 550 | 10 445 |
| Amortisation | (12 328) | (10 640) |
| Net Carrying amount at 30 June | 34 043 | 34 821 |
| Cost | 66 325 | 54 775 |
| Accumulated Amortisation | (32 282) | (19 954) |

| | | Carrying \ | Value |
|--|------------------------|------------|--------|
| | Remaining Amortisation | 2013 | 2012 |
| <u>Description</u> | <u>Period</u> | R | R |
| Microsoft Office, Windows software and system software | 3 - 5 years | 34 043 | 34 821 |

No intangible assets were assessed to having an indefinite useful life.

There are no internally generated intangible assets at reporting date.

There are no intangible assets whose title is restricted.

There are no intangible assets pledged as security for liabilities

There are no contractual commitments for the acquisition of intangible assets.

| | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|----|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 14 | CAPITALISED RESTORATION COST | | |
| | Net Carrying amount at 1 July | 582 | 776 |
| | Additions | 193 702 | - |
| | Depreciation | (145) | (182) |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Impairment | | | (139 301) | (11) |
|----|--|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Net Carrying amount at 30 June | | _ | 54 839 | 582 |
| | Cost Accumulated Depreciation Accumulated Impairments | | | 237 684 (42 755) (140 090) | 43 982 (42 610) (790) |
| 15 | NON-CURRENT INVESTMENTS | | | | |
| | Fixed Deposits | | | 1 616 786 | 1 521 901 |
| | Total Non-Current Investments | | = | 1 616 786 | 1 521 901 |
| | Fixed Deposits are investments with a maturity period of more than varying from 5% % to 5.45% per annum. (2012 - 5.35% to 6.27%). approximates their fair value. | | | | |
| | Investments to the value of R 1 400 000 are pledged as security for note 22 | the overdraft facility | at FNB - Refer to | | |
| | Fixed deposits consist out of the following accounts | | | | |
| | FNB - Acc.no.71 26761 8613 - Subsidie Kerkstraat Investment FNB - Acc.no.71 08730 6258 - Security Investment | | | 89 900 1 526 886 | 85 334 1 436 567 |
| | | | = | 1 616 786 | 1 521 901 |
| 16 | BIOLOGICAL ASSETS | | | | |
| | | Quantity (Units) | Fair Value R | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| | Springbuck | 386 | 500 | 193 000 | 76 000 |
| | Ostrich Blesbuck | 3 48 | 1 500 | 4 500 | 4 500 |
| | Swart Wildebeest | 48 16 | 1 200 2 500 | 57 600 40 000 | 40 000 28 800 |
| | Zebra | 2 | 6 000 | 12 000 | 8 000 |
| | | | _ | 307 100 | 157 300 |
| | Fair value of biological assets is based on selling prices less costs to prices were obtained from commercial hunters in the municipal area. | | ve market. These | | |
| | Reconciliation of fair value: | | | | |
| | Opening Fair Value | | | 157 300 | 87 300 |
| | Fair Value adjustments - Price changes Fair Value adjustments - Physical changes | | | 82 520 67 280 | 21 800 48 200 |
| | Closing Fair Value | | _ _ | 307 100 | 157 300 |
| | | | _ | | |

No biological assets were pledged as security for liabilities.

There are no commitments for the acquisition of biological assets.

All biological assets are located in the Victoria West Nature Reserve. The primary activities revolving around biological assets are as follows:

- Ensure that the game life of the municipal area are conserved for future generations.
- Ensure that game numbers are managed adequately. When the need arises to reduce the game number, prospective hunters are invited to submit tenders for the purchase game, resulting in an inflow of resources to the municipality.

Due to the unwillingness of insurance companies to carry the risk and potential losses relating to biological assets, the financial risk is managed as follows:

- Regular inspection and maintenance of boundary fences to manage movement of biological assets.
- Regular monitoring of game quantities by municipal staff.

| | | 2013 | 2012 |
|----|--|-------------|-----------|
| 17 | LONG-TERM RECEIVABLES | R | R |
| | Officials' Housing Loans - At amortised cost | 55 409 | 57 409 |
| | Consumers and Rates | 1 000 849 | 642 363 |
| | Councillors Allowances | 57 458 | 59 057 |
| | | 1 113 716 | 758 829 |
| | <u>Less:</u> Provision for Impairment | (1 113 717) | (758 829) |
| | Total Long Term Receivables | - | - |

The carrying amount of these assets approximates their fair value.

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

The provision for Impairment could be allocated to the different classes of Long Term Receivables as follows:

| Officials' Housing Loans - At amortised cost | 55 409 | 57 409 |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Consumers and Rates | 1 000 849 | 642 363 |
| Councillors Allowances | 57 459 | 59 057 |
| Provision for impairment | 1 113 717 | 758 829 |

HOUSING LOANS

The housing loan is receivable from P Minies. Due to the lack of payments received, a council resolution was passed to institute legal actions against P Minies to collect the outstanding debt or possible eviction from the property. The entire balance have been impaired.

CONSUMER AND RATES

Consumer and rates receivables included under long-term debtors represent all balances for which the debtors has made arrangements to settle their balances beyond normal credit terms. Balances included in long term receivables were deferred for more than 12 months past year-end and the balances are fully impaired

COUNCILLORS ALLOWANCES

Outstanding balances relates mainly to former councillors. All balances relating to these councillors have been impaired.

18 INVENTORY

19

| Water – at cost | 8 285 | 9 575 |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Total Inventory | 8 285 | 9 575 |
| Inventory recognised as an expense in the current year | - | 165 546 |
| RECEIVABLES FROM EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS | | |
| Electricity | 1 796 198 | 1 710 058 |
| Water | 12 855 631 | 9 073 778 |
| Refuse | 6 182 566 | 5 207 777 |
| Sewerage | 4 570 640 | 3 740 112 |
| Other | 688 114 | 628 777 |
| Total Receivables from Exchange Transactions Less: Allowance for Doubtful Debts | 26 093 149 (24 401 786) | 20 360 502 (18 976 509) |
| Total Net Receivables from Exchange Transactions | 1 691 363 | 1 383 993 |

Consumer debtors are payable within 30 days. This credit period granted is considered to be consistent with the terms used in the public sector, through established practices and legislation. Discounting of trade and other receivables on initial recognition is not deemed necessary

Debtors with a total outstanding balance of R 1 339 822 (2012 - R 1 095 745) have arranged to settle their account over an re-negotiated period. Total payments to the value of R 740 863 (2012 - R 534 626) have been deferred beyond 12 months after year end and subsequently included as part of long term debtors.

Interest on overdue balances are included at prime lending rate plus 1% where applicable

| | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|---|------------|-----------|
| Ageing of Receivables from Exchange Transactions: | | |
| (Electricity): Ageing | | |
| Current (0 - 30 days) | 643 126 | 643 359 |
| 31 - 60 Days | 92 716 | 84 509 |
| 61 - 90 Days | 70 187 | 39 931 |
| + 90 Days | 990 169 | 942 260 |
| Total | 1 796 198 | 1 710 058 |
| (Water): Ageing | | |
| Current (0 - 30 days) | 864 808 | 616 983 |
| 31 - 60 Days | 332 207 | 235 684 |
| 61 - 90 Days | 308 223 | 215 402 |
| + 90 Days | 11 350 393 | 8 005 709 |
| Total | 12 855 631 | 9 073 778 |

(Refuse): Ageing

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| Current (0 - 30 days) 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days + 90 Days | 181 425 134 360 124 374 5 742 407 | 169 056 117 657 112 163 4 808 900 |
|---|--|--|
| Total | 6 182 566 | 5 207 777 |
| (Sewerage): Ageing | | |
| Current (0 - 30 days) | 172 940 | 160 803 |
| 31 - 60 Days | 127 972 | 99 569 |
| 61 - 90 Days | 108 673 | 94 698 |
| + 90 Days | 4 161 055 | 3 385 042 |
| Total | 4 570 640 | 3 740 112 |
| (Other): Ageing | | |
| Current (0 - 30 days) | 17 857 | 20 592 |
| 31 - 60 Days | 7 747 | 10 592 |
| 61 - 90 Days + 90 Days | 7 747 654 761 | 8 303 589 290 |
| Total | | |
| Total | 688 114 | 628 777 |
| (Total): Ageing | | |
| Current (0 - 30 days) | 1 880 156 | 1 610 794 |
| 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days | 695 003 619 205 | 548 011 470 497 |
| + 90 Days | 22 898 786 | 17 731 200 |
| Total | 26 093 149 | 20 360 502 |
| Reconciliation of Provision for Bad Debts | | |
| | | |
| Balance at beginning of year Contribution to provision | 18 976 509 5 561 825 | 14 828 816 4 402 365 |
| Bad Debts Written Off | (136 549) | (254 672) |
| Balance at end of year | 24 401 786 | 18 976 509 |
| | | |
| | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| The Provision for Impairment could be allocated between the different classes of receivables as follows: | | |
| Electricity | 1 016 550 | 1 048 656 |
| Water | 12 164 126 | 8 581 302 |
| Refuse | 6 104 295 | 5 114 510 |
| Sewerage Other | 4 446 021 670 794 | 3 638 763 593 278 |
| | 24 401 786 | 18 976 509 |
| Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to the municipality's large | | |
| number of customers. The municipality's historical experience in collection of trade receivables falls within recorded allowances. Due to these factors, management believes that no additional risk beyond amounts provided for collection losses is inherent in the municipality's trade receivables. | | |
| RECEIVABLES FROM NON-EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS | | |
| Rates | 9 618 928 | 6 448 321 |
| Other Receivables | 320 444 | 259 206 |
| Fuel Deposits Electricity Deposit Richmond Suspense Debtors | 58 827 30 718 151 929 | 51 000 16 300 106 218 |
| Balance Previously reported | | 87 689 |
| Correction of error - Refer to note 37.04 | 70.000 | 18 529 |
| Accrued Interest Total Receivables from Non-Exchange Transactions | 78 969 9 939 372 | 85 688 6 707 527 |
| Less: Allowance for Doubtful Debts | (8 173 793) | (5 792 853) |

20

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Total Net Receivables from Non-Exchange Transactions | 1 765 579 | 914 674 |
|---------|---|---|--|
| | Rates debtors are payable within 30 days. This credit period granted is considered to be consistent with the terms used in the public sector, through established practices and legislation. Discounting of rates debtors are not performed in terms of the principles adopted of GRAP 104 on initial recognition. | | |
| | Debtors with a total outstanding balance of R 432 497 (2012 - R 267 985) have arranged to settle their account over an re-negotiated period. Total payments to the value of R 259 986 (2012 - R 107 737) have been deferred beyond 12 months after year end and subsequently included as part of long term debtors. | | |
| | Interest on overdue balances are included at prime lending rate plus 1% where applicable | | |
| | Refer to note 17 for balances deferred beyond 12 months from year end. | | |
| | Ageing of Receivables from Non-Exchange Transactions: | | |
| | (Rates): Ageing | | |
| | Current (0 - 30 days) | 405 020 | 232 703 |
| | 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days | 327 922 309 745 | 118 823 110 194 |
| | + 90 Days | 8 576 242 | 5 986 602 |
| | Total = | 9 618 928 | 6 448 321 |
| | Reconciliation of Provision for Bad Debts | | |
| | Balance at beginning of year Contribution to provision/(Reversal of provision) | 5 792 853 2 405 654 | 5 657 538 178 856 |
| | Bad Debts Written Off | (24 714) | (43 542) |
| | Balance at end of year | 8 173 793 | 5 792 853 |
| | Concentrations of credit risk with respect to receivables are limited due to the municipality's large number of customers. The municipality's historical experience in collection of receivables falls within recorded allowances. Due to these factors, management believes that no additional risk beyond amounts provided for collection losses is inherent in the municipality's trade receivables. | | |
| | | | |
| 21 | OPERATING LEASE ARRANGEMENTS | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| 21 21.1 | OPERATING LEASE ARRANGEMENTS The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) | | |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July | R 1 505 | R 1 144 |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year | 1 505 (135) | R 1 144 361 |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July | R 1 505 | R 1 144 |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, | 1 505 (135) | R 1 144 361 |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June | 1 505 (135) | R 1 144 361 |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, | 1 505 (135) 1 369 | 1 144 361 1 505 |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, it will pay operating lease expenditure as follows: Up to 1 Year | 1 505 (135) 1 369 2013 R | R 1 144 361 1 505 2012 R 6 705 |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, it will pay operating lease expenditure as follows: | 1 505 (135) 1 369 | 1 144 361 1 505 2012 R |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, it will pay operating lease expenditure as follows: Up to 1 Year 1 to 5 Years | 1 505 (135) 1 369 2013 R | R 1 144 361 1 505 2012 R 6 705 |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, it will pay operating lease expenditure as follows: Up to 1 Year 1 to 5 Years More than 5 Years | 1 505 (135) 1 369 2013 R 7 241 5 078 | R 1 144 361 1 505 2012 R 6 705 12 319 |
| | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, it will pay operating lease expenditure as follows: Up to 1 Year 1 to 5 Years More than 5 Years Total Operating Lease Arrangements The total lease liability consist out of one agreement entered into with Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality. The municipality leases office space. The latest agreement was entered into on 1 March 2010 and expires on 28 February 2015. The initial rental amount in the contract is R 466.56 (Excluding VAT) with an | 1 505 (135) 1 369 2013 R 7 241 5 078 | R 1 144 361 1 505 2012 R 6 705 12 319 |
| 21.1 | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, it will pay operating lease expenditure as follows: Up to 1 Year 1 to 5 Years More than 5 Years Total Operating Lease Arrangements The total lease liability consist out of one agreement entered into with Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality. The municipality leases office space. The latest agreement was entered into on 1 March 2010 and expires on 28 February 2015. The initial rental amount in the contract is R 466.56 (Excluding VAT) with an escalation clause of 8% per annum. | 1 505 (135) 1 369 2013 R 7 241 5 078 | R 1 144 361 1 505 2012 R 6 705 12 319 |
| 21.1 | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, it will pay operating lease expenditure as follows: Up to 1 Year 1 to 5 Years More than 5 Years Total Operating Lease Arrangements The total lease liability consist out of one agreement entered into with Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality. The municipality leases office space. The latest agreement was entered into on 1 March 2010 and expires on 28 February 2015. The initial rental amount in the contract is R 466.56 (Excluding VAT) with an escalation clause of 8% per annum. The Municipality as Lessor (Asset) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year | 1 505 (135) 1 369 2013 R 7 241 5 078 - 12 319 | R 1 144 361 1 505 2012 R 6 705 12 319 - 19 023 |
| 21.1 | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, it will pay operating lease expenditure as follows: Up to 1 Year 1 to 5 Years More than 5 Years Total Operating Lease Arrangements The total lease liability consist out of one agreement entered into with Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality. The municipality leases office space. The latest agreement was entered into on 1 March 2010 and expires on 28 February 2015. The initial rental amount in the contract is R 466.56 (Excluding VAT) with an escalation clause of 8% per annum. The Municipality as Lessor (Asset) Balance on 1 July | R 1 505 (135) 1 369 2013 R 7 241 5 078 - 12 319 | R 1 144 361 1 505 2012 R 6 705 12 319 - 19 023 |
| 21.1 | The Municipality as Lessee (Liability) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year Balance on 30 June At the Statement of Financial Position date, where the municipality acts as a lessee under operating leases, it will pay operating lease expenditure as follows: Up to 1 Year 1 to 5 Years More than 5 Years Total Operating Lease Arrangements The total lease liability consist out of one agreement entered into with Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality. The municipality leases office space. The latest agreement was entered into on 1 March 2010 and expires on 28 February 2015. The initial rental amount in the contract is R 466.56 (Excluding VAT) with an escalation clause of 8% per annum. The Municipality as Lessor (Asset) Balance on 1 July Movement during the year | 1 505 (135) 1 369 2013 R 7 241 5 078 - 12 319 | R 1 144 361 1 505 2012 R 6 705 12 319 - 19 023 |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

22

| More than 5 Years | 16 547 | 21 248 |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Total Operating Lease Arrangements | 516 659 | 659 617 |
| This lease income was determined from contracts that have a specific conditional income and does include lease income which has a undetermined conditional income. | not | |
| The leases are in respect of land and buildings being leased out for periods ranging until 2021. | | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | |
| <u>Assets</u> | | |
| Call Investments Deposits Bank Accounts | 615 379 170 604 | 915 877 496 696 |
| Cash Floats | 2 500 788 484 | 2 500 1 415 073 |
| Total Cash and Cash Equivalents - Assets | 700 404 | 1 415 073 |
| <u>Liabilities</u> | | |
| Primary Bank Account | 1 211 708 | 926 683 |
| Total Cash and Cash Equivalents - Liabilities | 1 211 708 | 926 683 |
| Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash held and short term deposits. The carrying amount of the assets approximates their fair value. | ese | |
| Bank overdraft facility of R1 400 000 exists at FNB. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | 2042 | 2042 |
| The municipality has the following bank accounts: | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| Current Accounts | | |
| | (4.044.700) | (000,000) |
| Victoria West FNB - Account Number 54 06233 8032 (Primary Bank Account): Richmond Standard Bank - Account Number 18 738 917 9 (Secondary Bank Account) Richmond Standard Bank - Account Number 08 319 266 2 (Traffic Account) | (1 211 708) 54 120 116 485 | (926 683) 33 664 463 032 |
| | (1 041 104) | (429 988) |
| | | |
| Victoria West FNB - Account Number 54 06233 8032 (Primary Bank Account): Cash book balance at beginning of year | (926 683) | (1 581 362) |
| Cash book balance at end of year | (1 211 708) | (926 683) |
| Bank statement balance at beginning of year | 715 730 | (796 641) |
| Bank statement balance at end of year | 913 367 | 715 730 |
| Richmond Standard Bank - Account Number 18 738 917 9 (Secondary Bank Account) | | |
| Cash book balance at beginning of year Cash book balance at end of year | 33 664 54 120 | 91 777 33 664 |
| Cash book balance at end of year | 34 120 | 33 004 |
| Bank statement balance at beginning of year Bank statement balance at end of year | 7 737 37 143 | 70 763 7 737 |
| Bank statement balance at end of year | 37 140 | 1 131 |
| Richmond Standard Bank - Account Number 08 319 266 2 (Traffic Account) Cash book balance at beginning of year | 463 032 | 488 337 |
| Cash book balance at beginning or year Cash book balance at end of year | 116 485 | 463 032 |
| Bank statement balance at beginning of year | 464 432 | 489 437 |
| Bank statement balance at end of year | 118 985 | 464 432 |
| Call Investment Deposits | | |
| Call investment deposits consist out of the following accounts: | | |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 04611 0920 - Projek Biblioteek Investment | 31 873 | 38 287 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 05001 7021 - Equitable Share Investment FNB - Acc.no.62 05698 7088 - Projek Nasionale Tesourie Investment | 1 179 1 461 | 3 695 1 647 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 08647 7760 - Own Funds Investment | 3 139 | 1 009 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 08984 3744 - Project Consolidate MSIG Investment FNB - Acc.no.62 14251 4894 - High Mast Lighting Investment | 10 840 11 024 | 10 592 10 914 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 17405 7680 - Drought Relief Funds Investment | 1 692 | 54 251 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 18164 4462 - Road Project Loxton Investment | 1 204 | 1 192 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 18831 8333 - Skills Development Fund FNB - Acc.no.62 20922 9831 - MIG Fund | 2 059 167 169 | 202 208 3 435 |
| 1110 A00.110.02 20022 3001 - WIIQ 1 UIIQ | 107 109 | 3 433 |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

23

24

| FNB - Acc.no.62 24204 3892 - DME Project | 1 385 | 1 371 |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| FNB - Acc.no.62 24730 1071 - Rubbish Bins | 1 620 | 1 604 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 24730 1708 - Playground FNB - Acc.no.62 24730 1964 - Tourism Plan | 302 769 1 379 | 3 105 66 839 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 25094 0387 - Insurance Claims | 1 592 | 268 881 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 25254 5763 - Own Funds | 1 015 1 097 | 1 005 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 25880 8595 - Reservoir Project (Victoria West) FNB - Acc.no.62 25880 9064 - Reservoir Project (Richmond) | 1 097 | 81 412 71 707 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 26770 3819 - Oxidation Ponds | 1 922 | 1 903 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 27128 8188 - Fire Fighting Equipment FNB - Acc.no.74 12728 4318 - Leave Reserve Fund Investment | 1 166 67 606 | 24 009 65 721 |
| FNB - Acc.no.62 28437 5386 - De Ville Street Project | 1 101 | 1 090 |
| | 615 379 | 915 877 |
| PROPERTY RATES | | |
| <u>Actual</u> | | |
| Rateable Land and Buildings | 6 496 296 | 3 981 926 |
| Residential, Commercial Property, State | 6 496 296 | 3 981 926 |
| Less: Rebates | (488 943) | (749 598) |
| Total Assessment Rates | 6 007 352 | 3 232 328 |
| | | |
| | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| Valuations - 1 JULY 2009 | K | K |
| Rateable Land and Buildings | | |
| Residential and Vacant Land | 169 323 400 | 168 734 700 |
| Business & Commercial Government | 51 745 000 54 235 500 | 51 744 100 56 086 500 |
| Exempt Properties | 32 224 000 | 30 185 300 |
| Multiple Use Properties Agricultural | 1 374 000 2 349 642 700 | 2 151 900 2 350 942 700 |
| Total Assessment Rates | 2 658 544 600 | 2 659 845 200 |
| Valuations on land and buildings are performed every four years. The last valuation came into effect on 1 July 2009. | | |
| Peter. | | |
| Rates: Residential | 1.3110 c/R | 1.1237c/R |
| Commercial | 1.1337 c/R | 1.1237c/R |
| Agricultural | 0.1200 c/R | 0.0380c/R |
| Rates are levied annually and monthly. Monthly rates are payable by the 7th of the following month and annual rates are payable before 30 September. Interest is levied at the prime rate plus 1% on outstanding monthly rates. | | |
| Rebates can be defined as any income that the Municipality is entitled by law to levy, but which has subsequently been forgone by way of rebate or remission. | | |
| GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES | | |
| Unconditional Grants | 17 705 000 | 15 157 000 |
| Equitable Share | 17 705 000 | 15 157 000 |
| Conditional Grants | 12 983 369 | 13 363 414 |
| Grants and donations | 12 983 369 | 13 363 414 |
| Total Government Grants and Subsidies | 30 688 369 | 28 520 414 |
| Oncomment County and Coloridian Consists | 0.740.447 | 0.040.704 |
| Government Grants and Subsidies - Capital Government Grants and Subsidies - Operating | 8 718 417 21 969 951 | 8 943 734 19 576 680 |
| | 30 688 369 | 28 520 414 |
| Revenue recognised per vote as required by Section 123 (c) of the MFMA | | |
| Equitable share | 17 705 000 | 15 157 000 |
| Budget & Treasury | 1 986 000 | 2 804 682 |
| Planning & Development Community & Social Services | 8 618 861 651 130 | 4 244 461 822 287 |
| Sport & Recreation | 208 570 | 022 207 |
| Waste Management | - | 3 996 192 |
| Water Electricity | 518 807 - | 823 368 672 423 |
| | | |
| | | |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | The municipality does not consist any cignificant shapes to the level of greats | 30 688 369 | 28 520 414 |
|-------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 24.04 | The municipality does not expect any significant changes to the level of grants. | | |
| 24.01 | Equitable share | | |
| | Opening balance Grants received Conditions met - Operating | 17 705 000 (17 705 000) | 15 157 000 (15 157 000) |
| | Conditions still to be met | | - |
| | The Equitable Share is the unconditional share of the revenue raised nationally and is being allocated in terms of Section 214 of the Constitution (Act 108 of 1996) to the municipality by the National Treasury. | | |
| 24.02 | Local Government Financial Management Grant (FMG) | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| | Opening balance | _ | _ |
| | Grants received Conditions met - Operating Conditions met - Capital | 1 500 000 (1 500 000) | 1 450 000 (1 443 860) (6 140) |
| | Conditions still to be met | | - |
| | The Financial Management Grant is paid by National Treasury to municipalities to help implement the financial reforms required by the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA), 2003. The FMG Grant also pays for the cost of the Financial Management Internship Programme (e.g. salary costs of the Financial Management Interns). | | |
| 24.03 | Municipal Systems Improvement Grant | | |
| | Opening balance Grants received | - 800 000 | 314 112 790 000 |
| | Paid Back to National Treasury Conditions met - Operating | (314 000) (244 543) | (872 994) |
| | Conditions met - Capital | (241 457) | (231 119) |
| | Conditions still to be met | <u> </u> | - |
| | The MSIG was used for building in-house capacity to perform municipal functions and stabilise institutional and governance systems. | | |
| 24.04 | Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) | | |
| | Opening balance Grants received | 4 117 545 11 510 000 | 3 894 563 9 488 000 |
| | Paid Back to National Treasury | (3 894 000) (871 903) | (945 979) |
| | Conditions met - Operating Conditions met - Capital | (7 331 057) | (8 319 039) |
| | Conditions still to be met | 3 530 586 | 4 117 545 |
| | The grant was used to upgrade infrastructure in previously disadvantaged areas. | | |
| 24.05 | Department of Water Affairs | | |
| | Opening balance | (204 296) | - |
| | Grants received Conditions met - Operating | 692 036 (63 713) | 489 293 (471 417) |
| | Conditions met - Capital Grant expenditure to be recovered | (455 094) (31 067) | (222 172) |
| | The grant is utilised to promote the access to high quality water in the municipal area. | | _ |
| 24.06 | Skills Development Fund | | |
| 24.00 | Opening balance | _ | _ |
| | Grants received Conditions met - Operating | 95 697 (95 697) | 100 628 (100 628) |
| | Conditions still to be met | | - |
| | These funds are utilised to develop skills within the municipality. | | |
| 24.07 | Library Fund | | |
| | Opening balance | 176 434 | 175 093 |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Grants received Conditions met - Operating Conditions met - Capital | 379 000 (466 180) (89 254) | 723 000 (584 802) (136 857) |
|-------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Conditions still to be met | - | 176 434 |
| | The grant was used to fund the operations and sundry capital requirements of the Libraries in the municipal area. | | |
| | | 2013 | 2012 |
| 24.08 | De Ville Street Road Project | R | R |
| | Opening balance | - | (306 066) |
| | Grants received Conditions met - Capital | - - | 334 473 (28 407) |
| | Grant expenditure to be recovered | <u> </u> | - |
| | The grant was used to upgrade road infrastructure in the municipal area. | | |
| 24.09 | Expanded Public Works Program (EPWP) | | |
| | Opening balance | - | - |
| | Grants received Conditions met - Operating | 1 000 000 (1 000 000) | - |
| | Conditions still to be met | - | - |
| | This program is aimed at providing poverty and income relief through the creation of temporary work opportunities. | | |
| 24.10 | Housing Grants | | |
| | Opening balance Conditions met - Capital | - (415 901) | - |
| | Conditions still to be met | (415 901) | - |
| | This expenditure relates to a project funded by the department of Human Settlements and is aimed at providing services to 36 houses in Loxton. The funds relating to this project have not been received on 30 June 2013 | | |
| 24.10 | Other Grants | | |
| | Opening balance | 188 999 | 188 999 |
| | Grants received Conditions met - Operating | 299 200 (22 916) | - |
| | Conditions met - Capital | (185 655) | 100,000 |
| | Conditions still to be met | 279 629 | 188 999 |
| | Various grants were received from other spheres of government. | | |
| 24.11 | Total Grants | | |
| | Opening balance Grants received | 4 278 681 33 980 933 | 4 266 700 28 532 395 |
| | Paid Back to National Treasury Conditions met - Operating | (4 208 000) (21 969 951) | (19 576 680) |
| | Conditions met - Capital | (8 718 417) | (8 943 734) |
| | Conditions still to be met | 3 363 246 | 4 278 681 |
| | Disclosed as follows: | | |
| | Unspent Conditional Government Grants and Receipts Unpaid Conditional Government Grants and Receipts | 3 810 214 (446 968) | 4 482 977 (204 296) |
| | Sirput Strate de Samon Stante de Lossopie | 3 363 246 | 4 278 681 |
| | | | |
| i | FINES | | |
| | Traffic Fines Court Fines | 17 366 684 44 050 | 18 984 149 47 980 |
| | Library Fines | 3 916 | 3 962 |
| | | 17 414 649 | 19 036 091 |

25

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|----|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 26 | SERVICE CHARGES | | |
| | Electricity | 8 318 106 | 7 935 261 |
| | Water Refuse removal | 5 614 016 2 888 271 | 4 579 135 2 834 143 |
| | Sewerage and Sanitation Charges | 2 653 366 | 2 553 369 |
| | Less: Rebates | 19 473 759 (3 612 423) | 17 901 908 (3 862 937) |
| | Total Service Charges | 15 861 336 | 14 038 971 |
| | · | | |
| | Rebates can be defined as any income that the Municipality is entitled by law to levy, but which has subsequently been forgone by way of rebate or remission. | | |
| 27 | OTHER INCOME | | |
| | Sundry income | 305 277 | 184 981 |
| | Total Other Income | 305 277 | 184 981 |
| | Sundry income represents sundry income such as building plans, sale of sundry items (wood, sand and stones) an fees for items not included under service charges (camping, fire brigade and impounding fees) | | |
| 28 | FAIR VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | | |
| | Biological Assets | (149 800) | (70 000) |
| | | (149 800) | (70 000) |
| 20 | EMPLOYEE BELATED COSTS | | |
| 29 | EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS | | |
| | Bargaining Council Levy Bonus | 13 233 883 823 | 7 302 731 505 |
| | Contributions for UIF, pensions and medical aids | 3 052 097 | 2 444 526 |
| | Group Life Insurance Housing Subsidy | 6 056 37 091 | 7 361 44 715 |
| | Leave Reserve Fund | 766 089 | 144 645 |
| | Long service awards | 99 583 | 77 617 |
| | Overtime | 1 213 679 | 1 187 749 |
| | Post Employment Health Salaries and Wages | 164 691 15 854 403 | 98 588 14 241 349 |
| | Travel, motor car, telephone, assistance and other allowances | 1 256 523 | 1 090 408 |
| | Total Employee Related Costs | 23 347 269 | 20 075 765 |
| | KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL | | |
| | Municipal Manager is appointed on a 5-year and all other Directors on a 5-year fixed contract. There are no post-employment or termination benefits payable to them at the end of the contract period. | | |
| | REMUNERATION OF KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL | | |
| | Remuneration of the Municipal Manager - Mr M F Fillis | | |
| | Annual Remuneration | 589 722 | 627 549 |
| | Car Allowance | 163 530 | 148 065 |
| | Telephone allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Bargaining Council | 5 206 168 083 | 4 714 152 587 |
| | Total | 926 541 | 932 915 |
| | | | |
| | Remuneration of the Director Infrastructure and Technical Services - Mr Zingange | 470.000 | 100.010 |
| | Annual Remuneration Travelling Allowance | 470 690 141 981 | 482 318 128 554 |
| | Telephone allowance | 6 761 | 6 122 |
| | Contributions to Medical and Pension Funds | 97 153 | 90 753 |
| | Total | 716 584 | 707 746 |
| | | | |
| | | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Remuneration of the Director Corporate Services - Mr Jacobs | R | R |
| | Annual Remuneration | 382 105 | 391 000 |
| | Travelling Allowance | 81 132 | 73 459 |
| | Telephone allowance Contributions to Medical and Pension Funds | 4 611 78 801 | 4 175 73 610 |
| | Contributions to intodical and i crision i alias | 70 001 | 75010 |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Total | 546 649 | 542 244 |
|----|--|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Remuneration of the Director Financial Services - Me Plaatjies | | |
| | Annual Remuneration | 563 104 | 620 298 |
| | Car Allowance Telephone allowance | 162 824 6 761 | 119 581 6 122 |
| | Contributions to Medical and Pension Funds | 162 951 | 132 366 |
| | Total | 895 640 | 878 366 |
| | | | |
| 30 | REMUNERATION OF COUNCILLORS | 000 000 | 500.004 |
| | Mayor Councillors | 620 888 1 573 278 | 599 204 1 228 559 |
| | Total Councillors' Remuneration | 2 194 166 | 1 827 763 |
| | Remuneration per Councillor | | |
| | Cllr K.J Rigard (Mayor) | 620 888 | 588 394 |
| | Cllr W. Sobutz | 246 028 | 182 613 |
| | Clir W Schutz Clir A.Verwey | 198 855 | 155 786 182 713 |
| | Cllr J.C Pieterse | 246 028 | 182 614 |
| | Cllr C.C Jantjies | 246 028 | 182 614 |
| | Cllr FZ Kwengana | 101 275 | 152 183 |
| | Clir B.J Bruwer Clir S.C Jordaan | 191 375 248 326 | 170 171 15 215 |
| | Cllr K.V De Bruin | 196 638 | 15 461 |
| | | 2 194 166 | 1 827 763 |
| | In-kind Benefits | | |
| | The Executive Mayor and all the committee members are part-time. The Mayor are provided with secretarial support and an office at the cost of the Council. | | |
| 31 | DEBT IMPAIRMENT | | |
| | Long term Receivables - Note 17 | 354 888 | 219 625 |
| | Trade Receivables from exchange transactions - Note 19 Trade Receivables from non-exchange transactions - Note 20 | 5 561 825 2 405 654 | 4 402 365 178 856 |
| | Total Contribution to Debt Impairment | 8 322 367 | 4 800 847 |
| 32 | DEPRECIATION AND AMORTISATION | | |
| | Property Plant and Equipment | 5 807 450 | 5 196 959 |
| | Investment Property | 26 029 | 26 100 |
| | Intangible Assets | 12 328 | 10 640 |
| | Landfill sites | 5 845 953 | 182 5 233 881 |
| 33 | IMPAIRMENTS | | |
| | Landfill Sites | 139 301 | 11 |
| | | 139 301 | 11 |
| | | | |
| 24 | EINANCE CHARCES | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| 34 | FINANCE CHARGES Long term Lightilities | 200 400 | 200 700 |
| | Long-term Liabilities | 206 168 | 209 786 |
| | DBSA normal DBSA arrears | 73 511 132 657 | 94 679 115 107 |
| | Finance leases | 84 464 | 75 471 |
| | Creditors | 62 715 | 20 236 |
| | Bank Overdraft | 29 000 | 32 878 |
| | Post Employment Health | 414 182 | 326 839 |
| | Long service awards Landfill Sites | 48 382 | 52 621 |
| | Total finance charges | 971 516 | 133 203 851 034 |
| | y | | |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| 35 | BULK PURCHASES | | |
|-------|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Electricity | 10 363 315 | 8 424 823 |
| | Water | 552 140 | 448 308 |
| | Total Bulk Purchases | 10 915 455 | 8 873 131 |
| 36 | GENERAL EXPENSES | | |
| | Audit Fees | 1 846 840 | 1 288 603 |
| | Advertising | 72 226 | 79 704 |
| | Bank Charges | 337 114 | 413 522 |
| | Job Creation Entertainment Expenses | 1 079 274 96 036 | 115 080 2 093 |
| | Professional Fees | 1 300 500 | 1 285 900 |
| | Membership Fees | 410 596 | 115 546 |
| | Fuel Cost | 1 206 679 | 1 367 390 |
| | Free Paraffin Hampers Legal Cost | 141 466 3 869 | 101 436 20 971 |
| | License Fees | 82 115 | 437 540 |
| | Insurance | 314 529 | 326 502 |
| | Penalties Paupers Funerals | 20 919 28 650 | 58 201 38 450 |
| | Printing and stationery | 299 734 | 226 779 |
| | Safety clothes | 205 173 | 130 889 |
| | Tyres Skille dayalanmant law | 123 328 213 157 | 20 118 170 269 |
| | Skills development levy Telephone | 300 982 | 275 839 |
| | Training | 297 372 | 208 927 |
| | Travel and subsistence | 1 813 940 | 1 201 211 |
| | Water Research Other | 96 177 240 127 | 62 507 218 010 |
| | General Expenses | 10 530 804 | 8 165 487 |
| | | | 2012 |
| 37 | CORRECTION OF ERROR IN TERMS OF GRAP 3 | | R |
| 37.01 | Payables from Exchange Transactions | | |
| | Balance previously reported | | 5 475 849 |
| | Recognition of short payment made to the SAMWU provident | - | 66 557 |
| | Effect on 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.05 Effect on 30 June 2012 - Refer to note 38 | | 50 279 16 278 |
| | During the year under review, the municipality identified a short payment made to the SAMWU provident fun ranging from August 2009 to January 2012 were affected with this correction. | d. Periods | |
| | | | 2012 R |
| | Recognition of over payment made to Pension Funds | _ | (32 777) |
| | Effect on 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.05 Effect on 30 June 2012 - Refer to note 38 | | (3 216) (29 562) |
| | During the year under review, the municipality identified an over payment made to the Municipal Councillors and Cape Joint Pension Fund. Periods ranging from May 2011 to February 2013 were affected. Over Payme Municipal Councillors Pension fund were recovered in full from the pension fund, while the overpayments to Pension fund should still be recovered from the affected councillors. | ents to the | |
| | Correction of debit balance incorrectly included under payables - Refer to note 37.04 | | 18 529 |
| | During the review of the classification of transactions it was identified that receivables outstanding from post medical aid members were incorrectly included under payables. | retirement | |
| | | - | 5 528 157 |
| 37.02 | Property Plant and Equipment | • | |
| | | | |
| | Balance previously reported Infrastructure assets previously not recognised on 30 June 2007 - Refer to note 37.05 | | 126 671 871 1 005 195 |
| | minastrusture assets previously not recognised on so dulie 2007 - Neith to hole 37.03 | _ | 1 000 130 |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013 | |
|--|--|
| Effect on Infrastructure - Electricity (Cost) Effect on Infrastructure - Water (Cost) | 842 718 162 477 |
| Effect on Accumulated depreciation up to 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.05 | (137 274) |
| Effect on Infrastructure - Electricity Effect on Infrastructure - Water | (96 377) (40 897) |
| Effect on Depreciation during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 38 | (34 389) |
| Effect on Infrastructure - Electricity Effect on Infrastructure - Water | (10 245) (24 144) |
| During the review of infrastructure assets, the municipality identified underground cable and telemetry previously not recognised. This adjustment was included retrospectively also taking into account the effect on depreciation. | |
| Correction of allocation errors between various classes of Infrastructure and on 30 June 2011 | - |
| Effect on Infrastructure - Electricity (Cost) Effect on Infrastructure - Water (Cost) Effect on Infrastructure - Sewerage (Accumulated Depreciation) Effect on Infrastructure - Electricity (Accumulated Depreciation) Effect on Infrastructure - Water (Accumulated Depreciation) | (196 437) 196 437 (13 288) (13 898) 27 186 |
| During the review of infrastructure assets, the municipality identified allocation errors between the fixed asset register and the financial statement. These differences originated in periods commencing before 30 June 2011 and the adjustment had no effect on infrastructure assets in total. | |
| Correction of allocation errors between various classes of loose assets and on 30 June 2012 | - |
| Effect on Other Assets - Office Equipment (Cost) Effect on Other Assets - Electrical Equipment (Cost) Effect on Other Assets - Office Equipment (Accumulated Depreciation) Effect on Other Assets - Electrical Equipment (Accumulated Depreciation) | 4 710 (4 710) (86) 86 |
| During the review of the fixed asset register, the municipality identified an UPS incorrectly recognised as electrical equipment rather than Office Equipment, The error was subsequently corrected retrospectively. | |
| Recognise loose assets previously not recognised as additions during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 38 | 2 363 |
| Effect on Other Assets - Furniture and Fittings (Cost) Effect on Other Assets - Furniture and Fittings (Depreciation) | 2 399 (36) |
| During the review of loose assets, the municipality identified asset additions previously not recognised. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | 2012 R |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Remove loose assets incorrectly recognised in asset register - Refer to note 37.05 | (683 260) |
| Effect on Other Assets - Furniture and Fittings (Cost) Effect on Other Assets - Office Equipment (Cost) Effect on Other Assets - Computer Equipment (Cost) | (404 313) (173 903) (105 044) |
| Effect on Accumulated depreciation up to 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.05 | 66 786 |
| Effect on Other Assets - Furniture and Fittings Effect on Other Assets - Office Equipment Effect on Other Assets - Computer Equipment | 29 345 25 780 11 661 |
| Effect on Depreciation during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 38 | 66 927 |
| Effect on Other Assets - Furniture and Fittings Effect on Other Assets - Office Equipment Effect on Other Assets - Computer Equipment | 29 412 25 829 11 686 |

The municipality identified in the current year that they incorrectly took ownership of assets belonging to the Department of Sports and Agriculture. These assets were recognised in the records of the municipality on 30 June 2010. These assets were subsequently removed from the asset register retrospectively from 30 June 2010.

| cuscoque in , is in a cusco is a cusco is a supposition, is a custo as is a custo as is | |
|--|-------------|
| Restatement of land and buildings | (8 754 271) |
| Recognition of Land previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.05 | (8 593 170) |
| Recognition of buildings previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.05 | (2 086 600) |
| Recognition of cemetries previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.05 | 518 000 |
| Recognition of recreational facilities previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.05 | (365 500) |
| Recognition of public open spaces previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.05 | 1 668 374 |
| Correction of accumulated depreciation on buildings up to 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.05 | 83 521 |
| Correction of accumulated depreciation on recreational facilities up to 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.05 | 144 |
| Correction of depreciation on buildings during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 38 | 20 923 |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

During the current year the municipality reviewed all proporties to be recognised as part of land and buildings, competeries

During the current year the municipality reviewed all properties to be recognised as part of land and buildings, cemeteries and recreational facilities. This resulted in a restructured asset register with all costs and accumulated depreciation charges restated retrospectively.

118 203 949

36

37.03 Investment Property

Balance previously reported
Restatement of investment properties

10 266 833

Recognition of Investment Property previously not recognised on 30 June 2007 - Refer to note 37.05 Correction of accumulated depreciation on investment property up to 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.05 Correction of depreciation on investment property during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 38

Correction of depreciation on recreational facilities during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 38

10 282 500 (12 529) (3 139)

16 206 580

During the current year the municipality reviewed all properties to be recognised as part of investment property. This resulted in a restructured asset register with all costs and accumulated depreciation charges restated retrospectively.

26 473 412

37.04 Receivables from non-exchange transactions

Balance previously reported 896 146

Correction of debit balance incorrectly included under payables - Refer to note 37.01

During the review of the classification of transactions it was identified that receivables outstanding from post retirement medical aid members were incorrectly included under payables.

914 674

2012 R

37.05 Accumulated Surplus

Recognition of short payment made to the SAMWU provident - Refer to note 37.01

(50 279)

During the year under review, the municipality identified a short payment made to the SAMWU provident fund. Periods ranging from August 2009 to January 2012 were affected with this correction.

Recognition of over payment made to Pension Funds - Refer to note 37.01

3 216

During the year under review, the municipality identified an over payment made to the Municipal Councillors Pension Fund and Cape Joint Pension Fund. Periods ranging from May 2011 to February 2013 were affected. Over Payments to the Municipal Councillors Pension fund were recovered in full from the pension fund, while the overpayments to the Cape Joint Pension fund should still be recovered from the affected councillors.

Infrastructure assets previously not recognised on 30 June 2007 - Refer to note 37.02

867 922

Effect on Cost
Effect on Accumulated Depreciation

1 005 195 (137 274)

During the review of infrastructure assets, the municipality identified underground cable and telemetry previously not recognised. This adjustment was included retrospectively also taking into account the effect on depreciation.

Remove loose assets incorrectly recognised in asset register - Refer to note 37.02 Effect on Accumulated depreciation up to 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.02

(683 260) 66 786

The municipality identified in the current year that they incorrectly took ownership of assets belonging to the Department of Sports and Agriculture. These assets were recognised in the records of the municipality on 30 June 2010. These assets were subsequently removed from the asset register retrospectively from 30 June 2010.

Restatement of investment properties

10 269 971

Recognition of Investment Property previously not recognised on 30 June 2007 - Refer to note 37.03 Correction of accumulated depreciation on investment property up to 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.03

10 282 500 (12 529)

During the current year the municipality reviewed all properties to be recognised as part of investment property. This resulted in an restructured asset register with all costs and accumulated depreciation charges restated retrospectively.

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

38

Restatement of land and buildings (8 775 230) Recognition of Land previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.02 (8 593 170) Recognition of buildings previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.02 (2.086.600)Recognition of cemetries previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.02 518 000 Recognition of recreational facilities previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.02 Recognition of public open spaces previously not recognised - Refer to note 37.02 (365 500) 1 668 374 Correction of accumulated depreciation on buildings up to 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.02 83 521 Correction of accumulated depreciation on recreational facilities up to 30 June 2011 - Refer to note 37.02 144 During the current year the municipality reviewed all properties to be recognised as part of land and buildings, cemeteries and recreational facilities. This resulted in a restructured asset register with all costs and accumulated depreciation charges restated retrospectively. 1 699 126 STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE 2 306 288 Balance previously reported Recognition of short payment made to the SAMWU provident - Refer to note 37.01 (16.278)During the year under review, the municipality identified a short payment made to the SAMWU provident fund. Periods ranging from August 2009 to January 2012 were affected with this correction. Recognition of over payment made to Pension Funds - Refer to note 37.01 29 562 During the year under review, the municipality identified an over payment made to the Municipal Councillors Pension Fund and Cape Joint Pension Fund. Periods ranging from May 2011 to February 2013 were affected. Over Payments to the Municipal Councillors Pension fund were recovered in full from the pension fund, while the overpayments to the Cape Joint Pension fund should still be recovered from the affected councillors. 2012 R Infrastructure assets previously not recognised on 30 June 2007 - Refer to note 37.02 (34 389) Effect on Depreciation (34 389) During the review of infrastructure assets, the municipality identified underground cable and telemetry previously not recognised. This adjustment was included retrospectively also taking into account the effect on depreciation. 66 927 Remove loose assets incorrectly recognised in asset register - Refer to note 37.02 66 927 Effect on Depreciation The municipality identified in the current year that they incorrectly took ownership of assets belonging to the Department of Sports and Agriculture. These assets were recognised in the records of the municipality on 30 June 2010. These assets were subsequently removed from the asset register retrospectively from 30 June 2010. Recognise loose assets previously not recognised as additions during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 37.02 2 3 6 3 Effect on Operating Grant Expenditure 2 3 9 9 Effect on Depreciation (36)Effect on Government Grants and Subsidies - Capital 2 399 Effect on Government Grants and Subsidies - Operating (2399)During the review of loose assets, the municipality identified asset additions previously not recognised. Restatement of investment properties (3 139) $(3\ 139)$ Correction of depreciation on investment property during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 37.03 During the current year the municipality reviewed all properties to be recognised as part of investment property. This resulted in an restructured asset register with all costs and accumulated depreciation charges restated retrospectively. Restatement of land and buildings 20 959 Correction of depreciation on buildings during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 20 923 Correction of depreciation on recreational facilities during 2011/2012 - Refer to note 36 During the current year the municipality reviewed all properties to be recognised as part of land and buildings, cemeteries and recreational facilities. This resulted in a restructured asset register with all costs and accumulated depreciation charges restated retrospectively Total 2 372 292

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|---|---------------------------|---|
| TION BETWEEN NET SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) FOR THE YEAR AND CASH /(ABSORBED) BY OPERATIONS | ĸ | ĸ |
| it) for the year | (1 345 811) | 2 372 292 |
| for: | | |
| _ | 5 833 624 | 5 223 241 |
| f Intangible Assets | 12 328 | 10 640 |
| sal of property, plant and equipment | - | (18 995) |
| sal of property, plant and equipment | 93 452 | 204 065 |
| ent - Receivables | 7 967 479 | 4 581 222 |
| ent - Long term receivables | 354 888 | 219 625 |
| staff leave | 569 081 | 105 765 |
| staff bonuses | 60 085 | 39 624 |
| employee benefits | 417 392 | 225 621 |
| S | 334 405 | 1 925 166 |
| provision - Landfill Site | 126 605 | 133 203 |
| ustments | (149 800) | (70 000) |
| itten off ed | 139 301 29 772 933 | 11 28 532 395 |
| ture | (30 688 369) | (28 520 414) |
| e income accrued | 7 496 | (2 448) |
| e expenses accrued | (135) | 361 |
| olus before changes in working capital orking capital | 13 504 955 (4 561 454) | 14 961 374 (5 525 436) |
| rease) in Payables from exchange transactions | 4 331 349 | (1 982 076) |
| axes | 231 662 | 714 485 |
| ventory | 1 290 | 169 226 |
| ceivables from exchange and non-exchange | (9 125 754) | (4 427 070) |
| ed by operations | 8 943 500 | 9 435 938 |
| ASH EQUIVALENTS | | |
| n equivalents included in the cash flow statement comprise the following: | | |
| nts Deposits - Note 22 | 615 379 | 915 877 |
| Note 22 | 2 500 | 2 500 |
| 2 | 170 604 | 496 696 |
| t - Note 22 | (1 211 708) | (926 683) |
| d cash equivalents | (423 224) | 488 390 |
| TION OF AVAILABLE CASH AND INVESTMENT RESOURCES | | |
| h Equivalents - Note 40 | (423 224) | 488 390 |
| Note 15 | 1 616 786 | 1 521 901 |
| | 1 193 561 | 2 010 291 |
| | | 4 482 977 |
| Committed Conditional Grants - Note 9 | 3 810 214 | 4 482 977 |
| railable/(required) for working capital requirements | (2 616 652) | (2 472 686) |
| Committed C | | 1 193 561 3 810 214 onditional Grants - Note 9 3 810 214 uired) for working capital requirements (2 616 652) |

42 UTILISATION OF LONG-TERM LIABILITIES RECONCILIATION

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | Long-term Liabilities - Note 2 Used to finance property, plant and equipment - at c | cost | | | 1 249 631 (1 249 631) | 1 627 258 (1 627 258) |
|------|--|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | - |
| | Cash set aside for the repayment of long-term liability | | | | | |
| | Cash invested for repayment of long-term liabilit | iles | | | | |
| | Long-term liabilities have been utilized in accordanc Management Act. | e with the Munici | pal Finance | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
| 43 | UNAUTHORISED, IRREGULAR, FRUITLESS AND | WASTEFUL EX | PENDITURE | | | |
| 43.1 | Unauthorised expenditure | | | | | |
| | Reconciliation of unauthorised expenditure: Opening balance Unspent grant funding utilised to fund operating Unauthorised expenditure current year - operati | | fer to note 41 | | 35 376 545 2 616 652 2 574 843 | 29 557 843 2 472 686 1 493 365 |
| | Unauthorised expenditure current year - capital | | | | 4 006 778 | 1 852 651 |
| | Unauthorised expenditure awaiting authorisation | n | | | 44 574 819 | 35 376 545 |
| | Incident Over expenditure on votes | Disciplinary : | steps/criminal procee | edings | | |
| | | | 2013 R (Actual) | 2013 R (Budget) | 2013 R (Variance) | 2013 R (Unauthorised) |
| | Operating Expenditure by Vote | | , , | , , | , | , |
| | Executive & Council Budget & Treasury Planning & Development Community & Social Services Public Safety Sport & Recreation Waste Management Water Electricity | | 5 952 332 18 929 318 8 278 594 20 627 145 77 986 3 578 6 153 126 3 455 785 12 624 432 | 7 277 657 16 354 474 12 970 774 22 687 055 335 700 148 410 8 042 123 4 382 582 14 099 011 | (1 325 325) 2 574 843 (4 692 181) (2 059 910) (257 714) (144 832) (1 888 997) (926 797) (1 474 579) | 2 574 843 - - - - - - - |
| | | | 76 102 295 | 86 297 787 | (10 195 492) | 2 574 843 |
| | Capital expenditure by vote | | 2013 R (Actual) | 2013 R (Budget) | 2013 R (Variance) | 2013 R (Unauthorised) |
| | Executive & Council Budget & Treasury Planning & Development Community & Social Services Sport & Recreation Waste Management | | 11 193 172 920 8 411 903 235 528 185 655 237 418 9 254 617 | 460 000 150 000 4 613 700 2 405 000 5 336 300 12 965 000 | (448 807) 22 920 3 798 203 (2 169 472) 185 655 (5 098 882) (3 710 383) | 22 920 3 798 203 - 185 655 - 4 006 778 |
| | | | | | 2013 | 2012 |
| 43.2 | Fruitless and wasteful expenditure | | | | R | R |
| | Reconciliation of fruitless and wasteful expenditure: Opening balance Fruitless and wasteful expenditure current year | | | | 1 215 584 245 292 | 989 162 226 422 |
| | Fruitless and wasteful expenditure awaiting furti | her action | | | 1 460 875 | 1 215 584 |
| | Incident | Disciplinary | steps/criminal procee | edings | | |
| | Interest on late payment of creditors and bank overdraft | None | | | 91 715 | 53 114 |
| | SARS penalties | None | | | 20 919 | 58 201 |
| | Interest on arrear portion of long term liabilities | None | | | 132 657 | 115 107 |
| | | | | | | |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

245 292

226 422

| | | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 43.3 | Irregular expenditure | | | |
| | Reconciliation of irregular expenditure: | | | |
| | Opening balance Irregular expenditure current year | | 20 202 690 3 864 659 | 10 762 348 9 440 342 |
| | Irregular expenditure awaiting further action | | 24 067 349 | 20 202 690 |
| | Incident | Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings | | _ |
| | Non-compliance with Supply Chain Management Policy - Sufficient Quotations MBD forms and tax clearance certificates not obtained. | None | 3 316 210 | 3 414 373 |
| | Non-compliance with Supply Chain Management Policy (Tender related non- compliance) | None | - | 5 471 833 |
| | Appointment of Director Corporate Services (Mr Jacobs) not in line with section 56 (3) & (4) of the Municipal System Act. | None | 546 649 | 533 165 |
| | Non-compliance with Supply Chain Management Regulations - Required procedures not followed to procure the services of legal advisors. | None | 1 800 | 20 971 |
| | services or regar advisors. | None | 3 864 659 | 9 440 342 |
| | Recoverability of all irregular expenditure will be ex steps have been taken at this stage to recover any | valuated by Council in terms of section 32 of MFMA. No monies. | 2013 | 2012 |
| 43.4 | Material Losses | | 2013 | 2012 |
| | Water distribution losses - Kilo litres disinfected/purified/purchased - Kilo litres lost during distribution - Percentage lost during distribution | | 741 489 91 018 12.28% | 956 179 184 587 19.30% |
| | Electricity distribution losses - Units purchased (Kwh) - Units lost during distribution (Kwh) - Percentage lost during distribution | | 12 423 429 9 291 436 25.21% | 11 749 190 2 998 802 25.52% |

43.5 Other Non-Compliance (MFMA 125(2)(e) and (f))

-

The municipality did not comply with section 65 (2) (e) of the MFMA. All reasonable steps to ensure, that all money owing by the municipality be paid within 30 days of receiving the relevant invoice or statement, unless prescribed otherwise for certain categories of expenditure, was not taken.

- Section 32 (4) (a) of the MFMA states that the accounting officer must promptly inform the mayor, the MEC of local government in the province and the Auditor General in writing of any unauthorised, irregular or fruitless and wasteful expenditure incurred by the municipality. The municipality did not inform the relevant parties as required by the section.
- Amounts outstanding regarding organs of state for periods exceeding 30 days are not reported to National Treasury on an individual debtors basis, therefore it is not reported immediately as required by section 64 (3) of the MFMA.
- The municipality did not comply with the requirements of section 166 of the MFMA regarding the duties and responsibilities of the Audit Committee.
- The municipality did not comply with the requirements of section 64 (3) of the MFMA. The accounting officer must immediately inform the National Treasury of any payments due by an organ of state to the municipality in respect of municipal tax or for municipal services, if such payments are regularly in arrears for periods of more than 30 days.
- The municipality did not fully disclosed zero rated supplies on the VAT 201 forms during the year under review, resulting in non-compliance with the VAT Act. This non-compliance did not result in any discrepancies with regards to the amount payable to/refundable from SARS.

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|------|---|---|---|
| 44 | ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT AC1 | | ., |
| 44.1 | Contributions to organised local government - [MFMA 125 (1)(b)] - SALGA CONTRIBUTIONS | | |
| | Opening balance Council subscriptions Amount paid - current year Amount paid - previous years | 400 000 (400 000) | 100 000 107 000 (107 000) (100 000) |
| | Balance unpaid (included in creditors) | - | - |
| 44.2 | Audit fees - [MFMA 125 (1)(c)] | | |
| | Opening balance Current year audit fee | 100 000 1 838 004 | 463 043 1 288 602 |
| | External Audit - Auditor-General Internal Audit | 1 722 331 115 673 | 1 078 960 209 642 |
| | Amount paid - current year Amount paid - previous year | (1 774 037) (100 000) | (1 188 602) (463 043) |
| | Balance unpaid (included in creditors) | 63 966 | 100 000 |
| 44.3 | <u>VAT - [MFMA 125 (1)(c)]</u> | | |
| | Opening balance Amounts received - current year Amounts received - previous years Amounts paid - Previous years Amounts paid - Current year Amounts claimed - current year Outstanding penalties and interest | 31 896 (1 487 862) (31 896) - - 1 911 865 (3 146) | (19 377) (2 415 549) (71 842) 91 247 200 000 2 309 739 (62 321) |
| | Closing balance - Receivable/(Payable) | 420 857 | 31 896 |
| | Vat in suspense due to cash basis of accounting Input VAT Output VAT Payable | 63 554 (1 639 083) (1 575 530) | 82 608 (1 046 962) (964 354) |
| | VAT is payable/receivable on the cash basis. VAT is only paid over to SARS once cash is received from debtors and only claimed from SARS once payment is made to creditors. | | |
| 44.4 | PAYE, SDL and UIF - [MFMA 125 (1)(c)] | | |
| | Opening balance Current year payroll deductions and Council Contributions Amount paid - current year | 2 906 147 (2 668 077) | 2 500 141 (2 500 141) |
| | Balance unpaid (included in creditors) | 238 070 | |
| 44.5 | Pension and Medical Aid Deductions - [MFMA 125 (1)(c)] | | |
| | Opening balance Current year payroll deductions and Council Contributions Amount paid - current year | 5 016 101 (4 575 724) | 4 148 921 (4 148 921) |
| | Balance unpaid (included in creditors) | 440 377 | |

| 44.6 | Councillor's arrear consumer accounts - [MFMA 124 (1)(b)] | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | The following Councillors had arrear accounts for more than 90 days as at 30 June 2013: | Outstanding more than 90 days | Outstanding more than 90 days |

Cllr SC Jordaan

4 328

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| Cllr A.Verwey | 341 | - |
|---|------------|------------|
| Cllr J.C Pieterse | 953 | - |
| Cllr KJ Arens | - | 4 746 |
| Cllr FZ Kwengana | - | 2 257 |
| Cllr CC Jantjies | 173 | 411 |
| Cllr KV De Bruin | - | 2 414 |
| Total Councillor Arrear Consumer Accounts | 1 467 | 14 156 |
| CAPITAL COMMITMENTS | | |
| Commitments in respect of capital expenditure: | | |
| Approved and contracted for: | 10 927 000 | 11 745 542 |
| Total commitments consist out of the following: | | |
| - High Mast Lightning | 1 600 000 | - |
| - Bulk Water Supply | - | 1 750 000 |
| - Upgrading of Streets | 7 677 000 | 1 687 399 |
| - Victoria Wes Cemetery | 1 650 000 | 1 382 271 |
| - Victoria West Landfill Site | - | 2 150 000 |
| - Stormwater Project | - | 3 225 872 |
| - Loxton West Landfill Site | <u>-</u> | 1 550 000 |
| | 10 927 000 | 11 745 542 |
| This expenditure will be financed from: | | |
| Government Grants | 10 927 000 | 11 745 542 |
| | 10 927 000 | 11 745 542 |
| | | |

46 FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

45

The activities of the municipality expose it to a variety of financial risks, including market risk (comprising fair value interest rate risk, cash flow interest rate risk, foreign exchange currency risk and price risk), credit risk and liquidity risk. The municipality's overall risk management programme focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to minimise potential adverse effects on the municipality's financial performance.

Market Risks

(a) Foreign Exchange Currency Risk

The municipality does not engage in foreign currency transactions.

(b) Price risk

The municipality is not exposed to price risk.

(c) Interest Rate Risk

As the municipality has significant interest-bearing liabilities, the entity's income and operating cash flows are substantially dependent on changes in market interest rates.

The municipality analyses its potential exposure to interest rate changes on a continuous basis. Different scenarios are simulated which include refinancing, renewal of current positions, alternative financing and hedging. Based on these scenarios, the entity calculates the impact that a change in interest rates will have on the surplus/deficit for the year. These scenarios are only simulated for liabilities which constitute the majority of interest bearing liabilities.

The municipality did not hedge against any interest rate risks during the current year.

| The potential impact on the entity's surplus/deficit for the year due to changes in interest rates were as follow: | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| 1% (2012 - 0.5%) Increase in interest rates 0.5% (2012 - 0.5%) Decrease in interest rates | (23 741) 11 870 | (7 079) 7 079 |

Other Risks

(d) Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that a counter party to a financial or non-financial asset will fail to discharge an obligation and cause the municipality to incur a financial loss.

Credit risk consist mainly of cash deposits, cash equivalents, trade and other receivables and unpaid conditional grants and subsidies.

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

Receivables are disclosed net after provisions are made for impairment and bad debts. Trade debtors comprise of a large number of ratepayers, dispersed across different sectors and geographical areas. On-going credit evaluations are performed on the financial condition of these debtors. Credit risk pertaining to trade and other debtors is considered to be moderate due the diversified nature of debtors and immaterial nature of individual balances. In the case of consumer debtors the municipality effectively has the right to terminate services to customers but in practice this is difficult to apply. In the case of debtors whose accounts become in arrears, Council endeavours to collect such accounts by "levying of penalty charges", "demand for payment", "restriction of services" and, as a last resort, "handed over for collection", whichever procedure is applicable in terms of Council's Credit Control and Debt Collection Policy.

The credit quality of receivables are further assessed by grouping individual debtors into different categories with similar risk profiles. The categories include the following: Bad Debt, Deceased, Good payers, Slow Payers, Government Departments, Debtors with Arrangements, Indigents, Municipal Workers, Handed over to Attorneys and Untraceable account. These categories are then impaired on a group basis based on the risk profile/credit quality associated with the group.

| | 2013 | 2013 | 2012 | 2012 |
|--|---------|------------|---------|------------|
| | % | R | % | R |
| The debtors per age analysis could be summarised as follows: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Bad debt | 33.10% | 12 152 657 | 20.92% | 5 742 088 |
| Deceased | 3.74% | 1 373 485 | 0.92% | 252 345 |
| Good Payers | 1.46% | 537 016 | 2.19% | 600 052 |
| Municipal Worker | 0.32% | 116 211 | 0.85% | 233 600 |
| Slow Payers | 1.23% | 452 985 | 4.51% | 1 237 938 |
| Government Departments | 4.39% | 1 613 487 | 4.33% | 1 189 815 |
| Debtors with arrangements | 2.64% | 970 695 | 4.77% | 1 310 694 |
| Indigents | 37.14% | 13 634 224 | 31.91% | 8 759 914 |
| Handed over to Attorneys | 6.53% | 2 395 570 | 13.66% | 3 749 894 |
| Untraceable accounts | 7.44% | 2 730 773 | 13.99% | 3 839 922 |
| Unmetered Consumption | 2.00% | 735 824 | 1.95% | 534 925 |
| - | 100.00% | 36 712 926 | 100.00% | 27 451 187 |

All rates and services are payable within 30 days from invoice date. Refer to note 19 and 20 for all balances outstanding longer than 30 days. These balances represent all debtors at year end which defaulted on their credit terms. Also refer to note 19 and 20 for balances included in receivables that were re-negotiated for the period under review.

Balances past due not impaired:

| | 2013 % | 2013 R | 2012 % | 2012 R |
|--|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| Non-Exchange Receivables (including long term receivables) Rates | 100.00% | 1 087 345 | 100.00% | 939 393 |
| Exchange Receivables (including long term receivables) | EO 200/ | 167 809 | EO E49/ | 113 748 |
| Electricity Water | 50.88% 28.38% | 93 594 | 50.51% 20.19% | 45 480 |
| Refuse | 5.38% | 17 754 | 11.17% | 25 157 |
| Sewerage | 15.27% | 50 377 | 11.28% | 25 394 |
| Other | 0.09% | 289 | 6.85% | 15 436 |
| | 100.00% | 329 823 | 100.00% | 225 215 |

No receivables are pledged as security for financial liabilities.

Due to the short term nature of receivables the carrying value disclosed in note 19 and 20 of the financial statements is an approximation of its fair value. Interest on overdue balances are included at prime lending rate plus 1% where applicable.

The provision for bad debts could be allocated between the different classes of debtors as follows:

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| | 2013 % | 2013 R | 2012 % | 2012 R |
|--|-----------|------------|------------------|------------|
| Non-Exchange Receivables (including long term receivables) | | | | |
| Rates | 100.00% | 8 433 779 | 100.00% | 5 900 590 |
| Exchange Receivables (including long term receivables) | | | | |
| Electricity | 4.27% | 1 073 617 | 5.77% | 1 125 115 |
| Water | 49.56% | 12 461 130 | 44.96% | 8 772 187 |
| Refuse | 25.12% | 6 315 803 | 26.95% | 5 258 050 |
| Sewerage | 18.38% | 4 621 306 | 19.28% | 3 762 505 |
| Other | 2.67% | 670 794 | 3.04% | 593 278 |
| | 100.00% | 25 142 649 | 100.00% | 19 511 135 |
| | 2013 % | 2013 R | 2012 % | 2012 R |
| Bad debts written off per debtor class: | | | | |
| Non-Exchange Receivables | | | | |
| Rates | 100.00% | 24 714 | 100.00% | 43 542 |
| Exchange Receivables | | | | |
| Electricity | 0.00% | _ | 2.34% | 5 953 |
| Water | 52.06% | 71 083 | 41.55% | 105 827 |
| Refuse | 25.62% | 34 984 | 27.79% | 70 764 |
| Sewerage | 22.32% | 30 482 | 28.32% | 72 128 |
| | 100.00% | 136 549 | 100.00% | 254 672 |

The entity only deposits cash with major banks with high quality credit standing. No cash and cash equivalents were pledged as security for financial liabilities and no restrictions were placed on the use of any cash and cash equivalents for the period under review. Although the credit risk pertaining to cash and cash equivalents are considered to be low, the maximum exposure are disclosed below.

The entity only enters into non-current investment transactions with major banks with high quality credit standing. Investments to the value of R 1 400 000 were held as security for the overdraft facility at FNB. Although the credit risk pertaining to non-current investments are considered to be low, the maximum exposure are disclosed below.

The banks utilised by the municipality for current and non-current investments are all listed on the JSE (First National Bank and Standard Bank). The credit quality of these institutions are evaluated based on their required SENS releases as well as other media reports. Based on all public communications, the financial sustainability is evaluated to be of high quality and the credit risk pertaining to these institutions are considered to be low.

The risk pertaining to unpaid conditional grants and subsidies are considered to be very low. Amounts are receivable from national and provincial government and there are no expectation of counter party default

Long-term Receivables and Other Debtors are individually evaluated annually at Balance Sheet date for impairment.

| | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Financial assets exposed to credit risk at year end are as follows: | | |
| Long term receivables | - | - |
| Receivables from exchange transactions | 1 691 363 | 1 383 993 |
| Receivables from non-exchange transactions | 320 444 | 259 206 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 788 484 | 1 415 073 |
| Non-Current Investments | 1 616 786 | 1 521 901 |
| Unpaid conditional grants and subsidies | 446 968 | 204 296 |
| | 4 864 044 | 4 784 469 |

(e) Liquidity Risk

Prudent liquidity risk management implies maintaining sufficient cash, the availability of funding through an adequate amount of committed credit facilities. Due to the dynamic nature of the underlying business, the treasury maintains flexibility in funding by maintaining availability under credit lines.

The entity's risk to liquidity is a result of the funds available to cover future commitments. The entity manages liquidity risk through an on-going review of future commitments and credit facilities.

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

The table below analyses the entity's financial liabilities into relevant maturity groupings based on the remaining period at the financial year end to the contractual maturity date. The amounts disclosed in the table are the contractual undiscounted cash flows. Balances due within 12 months equal their carrying balances as the impact of discounting is not significant.

| | Less than 1 year | Between 1 and 5 years | Between 5 and 10 years | Over 10 Years |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| 2013 | | | | |
| Long Term liabilities - Annuity Loans | 247 601 | 247 547 | - | - |
| Capital repayments Interest | 197 961 49 640 | 224 943 22 604 | | - |
| Long Term liabilities - Finance Lease Liability | 288 000 | 672 000 | - | - |
| Capital repayments Interest | 222 629 65 371 | 604 097 67 903 | | - |
| Provisions - Landfill Sites | 2 227 800 | 1 015 387 | - | - |
| Capital repayments Interest | 2 227 800 | 889 780 125 607 | | - |
| Payables from exchange transactions Unspent conditional government grants and receipts Cash and Cash Equivalents | 8 265 584 3 810 214 1 211 708 | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| | 16 050 907 | 1 934 934 | | |

| 2012 | Less than 1 year | Between 1 and 5 years | Between 5 and 10 years | Over 10 Years |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Long Term liabilities - Annuity Loans | 247 601 | 495 024 | - | - |
| Capital repayments Interest | 174 186 73 415 | 422 808 72 216 | | - |
| Long Term liabilities - Finance Lease Liability | 288 000 | 960 000 | - | - |
| Capital repayments Interest | 203 536 84 464 | 826 727 133 273 | | |
| Provisions - Landfill Sites | 1 998 117 | 971 378 | - | - |
| Capital repayments Interest | 1 998 117 - | 799 155 172 223 | | |
| Payables from exchange transactions Unspent conditional government grants and receipts Cash and Cash Equivalents | 4 252 252 4 482 977 926 683 | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| | 12 195 630 | 2 426 402 | | |

2013

2012

47 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In accordance with the principles of GRAP 104 the financial instruments of the municipality are classified as follows:

| | TOHOWS. | | | |
|------|--|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| 47.1 | <u>Financial Assets</u> | Classification | | |
| | Investments | | | |
| | Fixed Deposits | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 1 616 786 | 1 521 901 |
| | Receivables | | | |
| | Receivables from exchange transactions Receivables from non-exchange transactions | Financial instruments at amortised cost Financial instruments at amortised cost | 1 691 363 320 444 | 1 383 993 259 206 |
| | Other Receivables | | | |
| | Government Subsidies and Grants | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 446 968 | 204 296 |

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

| Short-term Investment Deposits | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| Call Deposits | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 615 379 | 915 877 |
| Bank Balances and Cash | | | |
| Bank Balances | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 170 604 | 496 696 |
| Cash Floats and Advances | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 2 500 | 2 500 |
| | | 4 864 044 | 4 784 469 |
| SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL ASSETS | | | |
| Financial instruments at amortised cost | | 4 864 044 | 4 784 469 |
| At amortised cost | | 4 864 044 | 4 784 469 |
| | | | |

| | | | 2013 R | 2012 R |
|------|--|---|------------|------------|
| 47.2 | Financial Liability | <u>Classification</u> | | |
| | Non-Current Liabilities | | | |
| | Annuity Loans | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 224 943 | 422 809 |
| | Capitalised Lease Liability | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 604 097 | 826 727 |
| | Non-Current Provisions - Landfill Sites | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 889 780 | 799 155 |
| | Payables from exchange transactions | | | |
| | Trade creditors | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 4 587 014 | 2 679 976 |
| | Arrear portion of long term liabilities | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 848 687 | 739 987 |
| | Retentions | Financial instruments at amortised cost | - | 148 080 |
| | Deposits | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 44 205 | 38 672 |
| | Other | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 681 677 | 645 537 |
| | Current Provisions | | | |
| | Current Provisions - Landfill Sites | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 2 227 800 | 1 998 117 |
| | Other Payables | | | |
| | Government Subsidies and Grants | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 3 810 214 | 4 482 977 |
| | Current Portion of Long-term Liabilities | | | |
| | Annuity Loans | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 197 961 | 174 186 |
| | Capitalised Lease Liability | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 222 629 | 203 536 |
| | Bank Overdraft | | | |
| | Primary Bank account | Financial instruments at amortised cost | 1 211 708 | 926 683 |
| | | | 14 339 008 | 14 086 443 |
| | SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL LIABILITY | | | |
| | Financial instruments at amortised cost | | 14 339 008 | 14 086 443 |

48 EVENTS AFTER THE REPORTING DATE

The municipality resolved to restructure their long term liability outstanding to DBSA - Refer to note $2.1\,$

49 IN-KIND DONATIONS AND ASSISTANCE

None

50 PRIVATE PUBLIC PARTNERSHIPS

Council has not entered into any private public partnerships during the financial year.

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

51 CONTINGENT LIABILITY

The municipality does not have a permit or license for any of the landfill sites currently in use and could be liable for a penalty in terms of section 24G of the Environmental Conservation Act.

A Claim was lodged against the municipality by Mr AM Conroy, due to an dispute over an account for the provision of water. If successful, the municipality will be liable for an amount of R 316 741 (2012 - R94 144) plus interest.

A Claim was lodged against the municipality by Mr D Meyer. The plaintiff made a hall booking at the municipality, but due to unforeseen circumstances, the municipality was not able to meet its obligation on date of the booking. The plaintiff claimed that he incurred losses and that the municipality should be held liable for an amount of R 11 669 plus interest.

Guarantee in favour of Mineral Resources amounting to R 4 000 (2012 - R 4 000)

52 RELATED PARTIES

Key Management and Councillors receive and pay for services on the same terms and conditions as other ratepayers / residents.

The rates, service charges and other charges are in accordance with approved tariffs that were advertised to the public. No bad debt expenses have been recognised in respect of amounts owed by related parties.

52.1 Related Party Loans

Since 1 July 2004 loans to councillors and senior management employees are not permitted. Loans granted prior to this date are disclosed in note 17 to the Annual Financial Statements.

52.2 Compensation of key management personnel

The compensation of key management personnel is set out in note 29 to the Annual Financial Statements.

52.3 Other related party transactions

The following purchases were made during the year where Councillors or staff have an interest:

None

53 FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

The indicators or conditions that may, individually or collectively, cast significant doubt about the going concern assumption are as follows:

Financial Indicators

Current Liabilities exceeds current assets with the current ration being 0.22:1 (2012 - 0.25:1)

The debtors' impairment ratio decreased to 90% from the previous year's 92%. This is still considered to be very high

The municipality have budgeted for a deficit of R 1 214 674 for the 2013/2014 financial year. The municipality is also budgeting for negative cash flows during 2013/2014, 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 amounting to R 3 569 349, R 9 758 404 and R 9 164 619 respectively.

A bank overdraft facility is utilised amounting to R 1 400 000.

The municipality is in arrears with the Development Bank of South Africa to an amount of R 848 687 (2012 - R 739 987)

Other Indicators

Possible outflow of recources due the contingent liabilities disclosed in note 51

Actions taken by Council

Council is continuously exploring avenues to increase the revenue base and improve the credit control measures of the municipality to address the negative indicators above and cash flow challenges.

These actions during 2013/2014 includes, but is not limited, to the following:

- Restructuring of its DBSA annuity loan to ensure the repayments are affordable.

UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

11 PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

30 JUNE 2013

| Reconciliation of Carrying Value | | Co | | Accumulate | Carrying Value | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | Depreciation | | | |
| | Opening Balance R | Additions R | Disposals R | Closing Balance R | Opening Balance R | for the year R | Disposals R | Closing Balance R | R |
| Land and Buildings | 9 007 150 | 60 026 | - | 9 067 176 | 289 967 | 68 479 | - | 358 446 | 8 708 730 |
| Land Buildings | 2 192 810 6 814 340 | - 60 026 | - | 2 192 810 6 874 366 | - 289 967 | - 68 479 | - | - 358 446 | 2 192 810 6 515 920 |
| Infrastructure | 121 580 467 | 8 442 999 | (138 071) | 129 885 396 | 23 157 007 | 4 953 082 | (53 454) | 28 056 635 | 101 828 761 |
| Stormwater and Roads Sewerage Electricity Water Solid Waste | 33 990 666 33 112 268 19 532 447 34 456 761 488 325 | 7 184 295 506 691 - 708 297 43 716 | (2 537) - (135 534) | 41 174 961 33 616 423 19 532 447 35 029 524 532 041 | 11 715 077 3 911 618 3 011 351 4 518 961 | 2 176 248 918 651 718 587 1 139 595 | (2 075) - (51 379) | 3 729 938 | 27 283 635 28 788 228 15 802 509 29 422 347 532 041 |
| Community Assets | 2 919 720 | 197 910 | - | 3 117 630 | 36 199 | 7 305 | | 43 504 | 3 074 126 |
| Recreation Grounds Public Open Spaces Cemetery | 377 500 1 668 374 873 846 | 185 655 - 12 255 | | 563 155 1 668 374 886 101 | 9 510 - 26 688 | 1 900 - 5 405 | - - - | 11 410 - 32 093 | 551 744 1 668 374 854 008 |
| Lease Assets | 1 156 161 | - | - | 1 156 161 | 151 410 | 231 232 | - | 382 642 | 773 519 |
| Office Equipment | 1 156 161 | - | - | 1 156 161 | 151 410 | 231 232 | - | 382 642 | 773 519 |
| Other Assets | 8 804 239 | 348 430 | (14 744) | 9 137 925 | 1 629 206 | 547 352 | (3 841) | 2 172 717 | 6 965 208 |
| Office Equipment Furniture & Fittings Electrical Equipment Motor vehicles Mechanical Equipment Computer equipment | 769 536 1 254 998 364 295 5 577 458 448 314 389 638 | 127 568 45 985 12 486 - 1 678 160 713 | (3 077) - - - - (11 667) | 894 026 1 300 983 376 781 5 577 458 449 992 538 684 | 151 979 320 595 139 409 770 074 85 094 162 055 | 125 348 98 094 45 168 163 785 52 732 62 224 | (2 155) - - - - (1 686) | 418 689 184 577 933 859 137 826 | 618 854 882 293 192 204 4 643 599 312 166 316 091 |
| | 143 467 737 | 9 049 365 | (152 815) | 152 364 288 | 25 263 788 | 5 807 450 | (57 294) | 31 013 943 | 121 350 344 |

UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

NOTES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013

30 JUNE 2012

| Reconciliation of Carrying Value | | Co | st | | Accumulate | ent Losses | Losses Carrying Value | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | Opening Balance R | Additions R | Disposals R | Closing Balance R | Opening Balance R | Depreciation for the year R | Disposals R | Closing Balance R | R |
| Land and Buildings | 8 862 251 | 144 899 | - | 9 007 150 | 222 778 | 67 188 | - | 289 967 | 8 717 183 |
| Land | 2 192 810 | - | - | 2 192 810 | - | - | - | - | 2 192 810 |
| Buildings | 6 669 441 | 144 899 | - | 6 814 340 | 222 778 | 67 188 | - | 289 967 | 6 524 373 |
| Infrastructure | 111 639 031 | 10 204 540 | (263 103) | 121 580 467 | 18 782 530 | 4 484 157 | (109 680) | 23 157 007 | 98 423 460 |
| Stormwater and Roads | 29 775 279 | 4 268 889 | (53 502) | 33 990 666 | 9 824 954 | 1 935 094 | (44 971) | 11 715 077 | 22 275 589 |
| Sewerage | 28 852 233 | 4 427 840 | (167 805) | 33 112 268 | 3 126 180 | 844 451 | (59 013) | | 29 200 650 |
| Electricity | 18 734 886 | 797 561 | - | 19 532 447 | 2 329 410 | 681 942 | - | 0 0 1 1 00 1 | 16 521 096 |
| Water | 34 276 633 | 221 924 | (41 796) | 34 456 761 | 3 501 986 | 1 022 670 | (5 696) | 4 518 961 | 29 937 800 |
| Other | - | 488 325 | - | 488 325 | - | - | - | - | 488 325 |
| Community Assets | 2 698 271 | 221 449 | - | 2 919 720 | 28 874 | 7 325 | - | 36 199 | 2 883 521 |
| Recreation Grounds | 377 500 | - | - | 377 500 | 7 605 | 1 905 | - | 9 510 | 367 990 |
| Public Open Spaces | 1 668 374 | - | - | 1 668 374 | - | - | - | - | 1 668 374 |
| Cemetery | 652 397 | 221 449 | - | 873 846 | 21 268 | 5 420 | - | 26 688 | 847 157 |
| Lease Assets | 308 088 | 1 156 161 | (308 088) | 1 156 161 | 103 770 | 169 584 | (121 944) | 151 410 | 1 004 751 |
| Office Equipment | 308 088 | 1 156 161 | (308 088) | 1 156 161 | 103 770 | 169 584 | (121 944) | 151 410 | 1 004 751 |
| Other Assets | 7 544 879 | 1 259 360 | - | 8 804 239 | 1 160 501 | 468 705 | - | 1 629 206 | 7 175 033 |
| Office Equipment | 189 562 | 579 974 | - | 769 536 | 80 379 | 71 600 | - | 151 979 | 617 557 |
| Furniture & Fittings | 1 146 847 | 108 151 | - | 1 254 998 | 226 837 | 93 758 | - | 320 595 | 934 403 |
| Electrical Equipment | 346 853 | 17 442 | - | 364 295 | 89 264 | 50 145 | - | 139 409 | 224 886 |
| Motor vehicles | 5 340 330 | 237 128 | - | 5 577 458 | 591 419 | 178 655 | - | 770 074 | 4 807 384 |
| Mechanical Equipment | 148 912 | 299 402 | - | 448 314 | 54 245 | 30 849 | - | 85 094 | 363 220 |
| Computer equipment | 372 375 | 17 263 | - | 389 638 | 118 357 | 43 699 | - | 162 055 | 227 583 |
| | 131 052 520 | 12 986 408 | (571 191) | 143 467 737 | 20 298 453 | 5 196 959 | (231 624) | 25 263 788 | 118 203 949 |
| Palance proviously reported | 139 589 480 | 12 984 009 | (571 101) | 152 002 298 | 20 311 630 | 5 250 420 | (221 624) | 25 220 427 | 126 671 871 |
| Balance previously reported | | | (571 191) | | | | (231 624) | | |
| Correction of error - Refer to note 37.02 | (8 536 960) | 2 399 | - | (8 534 561) | (13 177) | (53 461) | - | (66 639) | (8 467 922) |
| | 131 052 520 | 12 986 408 | (571 191) | 143 467 737 | 20 298 453 | 5 196 959 | (231 624) | 25 263 788 | 118 203 949 |

APPENDIX A - Unaudited UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY SCHEDULE OF EXTERNAL LOANS AS AT 30 JUNE 2013

| EXTERNAL LOANS | Rate | Loan Number | Redeemable | Balance at 30 JUNE 2012 | Received during the period | Redeemed written off during the | Balance at 30 JUNE 2013 |
|-------------------------|--------|----------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | period | |
| ANNUITY LOANS | | | | | | | |
| DBSA-Sewerage Richmond | 13.22% | 12692/101 | 30/06/2015 | 596 995 | - | 174 091 | 422 904 |
| Total Annuity Loans | | | | 596 995 | - | 174 091 | 422 904 |
| LEASE LIABILITY | | | | | | | |
| Nashua | 9.00% | Nashua | 31/10/2016 | 1 030 263 | - | 203 536 | 826 726 |
| Total Lease Liabilities | | | | 1 030 263 | - | 203 536 | 826 726 |
| TOTAL EXTERNAL LOANS | | | | 1 627 258 | - | 377 627 | 1 249 630 |

APPENDIX B - Unaudited UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY SEGMENTAL STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013 MUNICIPAL VOTES CLASSIFICATION

| 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 |
|------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------|---|-------------|
| Actual | Actual | Surplus/ | | Actual | Actual | Surplus/ |
| Income | Expenditure | (Deficit) | | Income | Expenditure | (Deficit) |
| R | R | R | | R | R | R |
| 9 600 | (61 365) | (51 765) | Health Services | 3 200 | _ | 3 200 |
| 4 292 012 | (5 956 416) | (1 664 404) | | 8 638 215 | (5 747 065) | 2 891 151 |
| 19 807 181 | (15 907 928) | 3 899 253 | Licences | 18 352 612 | (15 211 237) | |
| 108 578 | (3 597 704) | | Administration | 100 334 | (4 498 166) | (4 397 832) |
| 10 813 | (243) | 10 570 | Cemetries | 12 568 | (4 400 100) | 12 568 |
| 10010 | (2 481 470) | | Roads and Stormwater Drainage | 12 000 | (2 531 529) | (2 531 529) |
| 70 742 | (2 401 470) | 70 742 | Nature Reserve | 151 120 | (2 001 020) | 151 120 |
| - 101742 | (56) | (56) | Aerodome | 101 120 | _ | 101 120 |
| 1 765 734 | (5 200 464) | (/ | Council General Expenses | 1 000 000 | (5 829 897) | (4 829 897) |
| 27 478 | - | 27 478 | Abbatoir | _ | (* ************************************ | - |
| 3 232 328 | (4 800 847) | _ | | 6 007 352 | (8 322 366) | (2 315 014) |
| 1 049 046 | (1 317 246) | (268 200) | Management Services | 2 | (1 433 422) | (1 433 420) |
| 20 048 967 | (9 195 774) | , | Finance | 22 935 982 | (9 173 530) | 13 762 452 |
| - | (66 836) | (66 836) | Fire Brigade | _ | (77 986) | (77 986) |
| 725 621 | (1 065 109) | (339 488) | Library | 559 349 | (917 743) | (358 393) |
| 4 339 | - | ` 4 339 [°] | Parks and Recreation | 216 974 | (3 578) | 213 397 |
| 4 766 596 | (2 968 241) | 1 798 355 | Sewerage and Sanitation | 1 501 179 | (3 469 506) | (1 968 327) |
| 223 376 | (506 636) | (283 260) | Buildings and Offices | 307 801 | (122 434) | 185 367 |
| 34 124 | (109) | 34 015 | Commonage | 52 443 | - | 52 443 |
| - | ` - | - | Sunrise | - | - | - |
| 2 156 825 | (1 759 033) | 397 792 | Cleansing | 1 726 844 | (2 683 620) | (956 776) |
| 7 917 393 | (10 673 221) | (2 755 828) | Electricity | 7 709 174 | (12 624 432) | (4 915 258) |
| 4 707 277 | (3 030 308) | 1 676 969 | Water Distribution | 5 481 336 | (3 455 785) | 2 025 551 |
| 3 268 | - | 3 268 | Municipal Staff Housing | - | - | - |
| 70 961 298 | (68 589 006) | 2 372 292 | Total | 74 756 485 | (76 102 296) | (1 345 811) |
| | | | | | | |

APPENDIX C - Unaudited UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

SEGMENTAL STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2013 GENERAL FINANCE STATISTIC CLASSIFICATIONS

| 2012 Actual Income R | 2012 Actual Expenditure R | 2012 Surplus/ (Deficit) R | | 2013 Actual Income R | 2013 Actual Expenditure R | 2013 Surplus/ (Deficit) R |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 992 378 24 401 083 4 326 136 9 600 20 679 671 - 4 339 6 923 421 4 707 277 7 917 393 | (5 707 100) (15 313 867) (8 437 995) (61 365) (20 571 040) (66 836) - (4 727 274) (3 030 308) (10 673 221) | 9 087 215 (4 111 859) (51 765) 108 631 (66 836) 4 339 2 196 147 1 676 969 (2 755 828) | Budget & Treasury Planning & Development Health Community & Social Services Public Safety Sport & Recreation Waste Management Water Electricity | 1 307 801 29 094 457 8 690 658 3 200 19 024 863 - 216 974 3 228 023 5 481 336 7 709 174 | (5 952 332) (18 929 318) (8 278 594) - (20 627 145) (77 986) (3 578) (6 153 126) (3 455 785) (12 624 432) | 10 165 138 412 064 3 200 (1 602 283) (77 986) 213 397 (2 925 103) 2 025 551 |
| | , | | | | , | , |

APPENDIX D - Unaudited UBUNTU LOCAL MUNICIPALITY DISCLOSURES OF GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES IN TERMS OF SECTION 123 OF MFMA, 56 OF 2003

| UNSPENT AND UNPAID GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND RECEIF National Government Grants | PTS R | | | | | | during the year Transferred to Revenue | during the year Transferred to Revenue | |
|---|-------------------|---|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|---------------------|
| National Government Grants | •• | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Equitable Share | | | | 17 705 000 | | | 17 705 000 | | · . |
| Local Government Financial Management Grant | - | - | - | 1 500 000 | - | - | 1 500 000 | - | - |
| Municipal Infrastructure Grant | 4 117 545 | - | 4 117 545 | 11 510 000 | - | (3 894 000) | 871 903 | 7 331 057 | 3 530 585 |
| - General MIG Fund | 3 095 753 | - | 3 095 753 | 11 510 000 | (11 022 130) | (3 479 392) | 104 230 | - | - |
| - Project Road Loxton | 41 426 | - | 41 426 | - | (25 734) | - | - | 15 692 | - |
| - Project Road Noorder Street | - | - | - | - | 1 977 219 | - | 226 434 | 1 750 786 | |
| - Project Road New Bright Street | 49 430 | - | 49 430 | - | 5 265 723 | - | 231 864 1 716 | 1 732 206 | 3 301 653 35 459 |
| Victoria West Cemetery Victoria West Solid Waste | 49 430 172 258 | - | 172 258 | - | - | - | 4 510 | 12 255 32 216 | 135 532 |
| - Loxton Solid Waste | 71 051 | - | 71 051 | | | | 1 610 | 11 500 | 57 941 |
| - Oxidation Pond Loxton | 160 499 | | 160 499 | - | (56 998) | | 12 711 | 90 790 | 57 941 |
| - King Street Road Project | 97 593 | _ | 97 593 | _ | 283 817 | _ | 12 978 | 368 432 | |
| - External Water Draining Project (Stormwater) | 14 927 | - | 14 927 | - | 3 578 103 | - | 275 851 | 3 317 180 | - |
| - Oxidation Pond Richmond | 414 608 | - | 414 608 | - | - | (414 608) | - | - | - |
| Municipal Systems Improvement Grant | - | - | - | 800 000 | - | (314 000) | 244 543 | 241 457 | - |
| Expanded Public Works Program (EPWP) | - | - | - | 1 000 000 | - | ` - | 1 000 000 | - | - |
| Department of Water Affairs | (204 296) | - | (204 296) | 692 036 | - | - | 63 713 | 455 094 | (31 067) |
| - Installation of Bulkmeters | (204 296) | - | (204 296) | 692 036 | - | - | 63 713 | 455 094 | (31 067) |
| Skills Development Fund | - | - | - | 95 697 | - | - | 95 697 | - | - |
| Total National Government Grants | 3 913 249 | - | 3 913 249 | 33 302 733 | - | (4 208 000) | 21 480 856 | 8 027 607 | 3 499 518 |
| Provincial Government Grants | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Library | 176 434 | | 176 434 | 379 000 | -1 | | 466 180 | 89 254 | г - |
| Department of Human Settlements (Services 36 Erven Loxton) | - 170 404 | - | - 170 404 | - | - | _ | | 415 901 | (415 901 |
| Total Provincial Government Grants | 176 434 | - | 176 434 | 379 000 | - | - | 466 180 | 505 155 | (415 901 |
| District Municipality Grants | | | | | | | | | |
| Upgrading of Sportsgrounds | -1 | | | 299 200 | - 1 | - 1 | 22 916 | 185 655 | 90 630 |
| Total District Municipality Grants | - | - | - | 299 200 | - | - | 22 916 | 185 655 | 90 630 |
| | | | l l | | · | | | | |
| Other Grant Providers | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Survey of Land | 1 248 | - | 1 248 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 248 |
| Project Water Loxton | 88 739 | - | 88 739 | - | - | - | - | - | 88 739 |
| Solid Waste Site Victoria West | 99 012 | - | 99 012 | - | - | - | - | - | 99 012 |
| Total Other Grant Providers | 188 999 | | 188 999 | - | - | - | - | - | 188 999 |
| Total | 4 278 682 | | 4 278 682 | 33 980 933 | | (4 208 000) | 21 969 951 | 8 718 417 | 3 363 246 |